Diagnosing and representing model error in strong constraint 4DVAR

Kat Howes (Dr Amos Lawless and Dr Alison Fowler) Funded by NERC

University of Reading

April 2016

Model errors

• Development of a combined model error and observation error covariance matrix for use in 4DVar

• Estimation of the combined matrix with diagnostics

Results

Models are best representations of true dynamical systems

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}^{t} = \mathbf{M}_{\{i-1\} \rightarrow i} \mathbf{x}_{i-1}^{t}$$
$$= \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\{i-1\} \rightarrow i} \mathbf{x}_{i-1}^{t} + \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i} \qquad i = 1, 2, ...,$$

where the model error $\eta_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}_i)$.

Four dimensional variational data assimilation (4DVar)

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^b)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^b) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{\hat{y}} - \mathbf{\hat{H}}\mathbf{x}_0)^T \mathbf{\hat{R}}^{-1}(\mathbf{\hat{y}} - \mathbf{\hat{H}}\mathbf{x}_0),$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}_0 \\ \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_N \end{pmatrix} \qquad \hat{\mathbf{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_0 \\ \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{M}_{0 \to 1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{H}_N \mathbf{M}_{0 \to N} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \hat{\mathbf{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{R}_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \mathbf{R}_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

•
$$\epsilon_b = \mathbf{x}^b - \mathbf{x}^t_0$$
 with $\epsilon_b \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{B})$,
• $\epsilon_{ob} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} - \hat{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{x}^t_0$ with $\epsilon_{ob} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \hat{\mathbf{R}})$.

4DVar with erroneous model

$$\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^b)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^b) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{\hat{y}} - \mathbf{\widetilde{H}}\mathbf{x}_0)^T \mathbf{R}^{*-1}(\mathbf{\hat{y}} - \mathbf{\widetilde{H}}\mathbf{x}_0),$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}_0 \\ \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_N \end{pmatrix} \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_0 \\ \mathbf{H}_1 \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to 1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{H}_N \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to N} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ob}^{*} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} - \widetilde{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{x}^{t}_{0} \text{ with } \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ob}^{*} \sim \mathcal{N}(?,?)$$

Kat Howes (University of Reading)

April 2016 5 / 27

イロト イポト イヨト イ

Combined model error and observation error

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi} &= \mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{M}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{x}^t_0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_i) \qquad (1) \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi}^* &= \mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{H}_i \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{x}^t_0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi}^* \sim \mathcal{N}(?, ?) \qquad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Image: Image:

Combined model error and observation error

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi} &= \mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{M}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{x}^t_0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_i) \qquad (1) \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi}^* &= \mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{H}_i \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{x}^t_0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi}^* \sim \mathcal{N}(?, ?) \qquad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Substracting (1) from (2) and rearranging,

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*_{obi} &= \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi} + \mathsf{H}_i(\mathsf{M}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{x}^t_0 - \widetilde{\mathsf{M}}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{x}^t_0), \\ &= \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi} + \mathsf{H}_i \sum_{j=1}^i \widetilde{\mathsf{M}}_{j \to i} \eta_j, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi} &= \mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{H}_i \mathbf{M}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{x}^t_0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_i) \qquad (1) \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi}^* &= \mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{H}_i \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{x}^t_0, \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{obi}^* \sim \mathcal{N}(?, ?) \qquad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Substracting (1) from (2) and rearranging,

$$\begin{split} \epsilon^*_{obi} &= \epsilon_{obi} + \mathsf{H}_i(\mathsf{M}_{0\to i}\mathsf{x}^t_0 - \widetilde{\mathsf{M}}_{0\to i}\mathsf{x}^t_0), \\ &= \epsilon_{obi} + \mathsf{H}_i \sum_{j=1}^i \widetilde{\mathsf{M}}_{j\to i} \eta_j, \\ < \epsilon^*_{obi} > &= \mathbf{0}. \end{split}$$

Combined model error and observation error covariance

Let,

$$\mathbf{R}^*_{(i,k)} = < \epsilon^*_{obi} (\epsilon^*_{obk})^T > .$$

Combined model error and observation error covariance

Let,

$$\mathbf{R}^*_{(i,k)} = < \epsilon^*_{obi} (\epsilon^*_{obk})^T > .$$

Then,



Combined model error and observation error covariance

Let,

$$\mathbf{R}^*_{(i,k)} = < \epsilon^*_{obi} (\epsilon^*_{obk})^T > .$$

Then,



Let
$$\mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(i,k)} = \mathbf{H}_{i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\min(i,k)} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{j \to i} \mathbf{Q}_{j} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{j \to k}^{T} \right] \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T}.$$

Combined model error and observation error covariance matrix

Then,

$$\mathbf{R}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{R}_{1} + \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(1,1)} & \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(1,2)} & \cdots & \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(1,N)} \\ \vdots & \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(2,1)} & \mathbf{R}_{2} + \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(2,2)} & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(N,1)} & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{R}_{N} + \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(N,N)} \end{pmatrix}$$

٠

Combined model error and observation error covariance matrix

Then,

$$\mathbf{R}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{R}_{1} + \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(1,1)} & \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(1,2)} & \cdots & \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(1,N)} \\ \vdots & \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(2,1)} & \mathbf{R}_{2} + \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(2,2)} & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(N,1)} & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{R}_{N} + \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(N,N)} \end{pmatrix}$$

• An increase in block diagonal terms. This is an accumulation of the model error over the assimilation time window.

Combined model error and observation error covariance matrix

Then,

$$\mathbf{R}^{*} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{R}_{1} + \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(1,1)} & \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(1,2)} & \cdots & \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(1,N)} \\ \vdots & \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(2,1)} & \mathbf{R}_{2} + \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(2,2)} & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(N,1)} & \cdots & \cdots & \mathbf{R}_{N} + \mathbf{Q}^{*}_{(N,N)} \end{pmatrix}$$

- An increase in block diagonal terms. This is an accumulation of the model error over the assimilation time window.
- The formation of off diagonal block model error covariance terms. This is the presence of time correlations caused by the error in the model.

Erroneous model $\mathbf{x}_i = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\{i-1\} \to i} \mathbf{x}_{i-1}$ used within the strong constraint 4DVar cost function.

Erroneous model $\mathbf{x}_i = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\{i-1\} \to i} \mathbf{x}_{i-1}$ used within the strong constraint 4DVar cost function.

Error in the model
accounted for
(use of $\hat{\mathbf{R}^*}$)
$\mathbf{x}^{a} \mathbf{a}^{*} = \mathbf{x}^{b} + \hat{\mathbf{k}}^{*} (\hat{\mathbf{v}} - \widetilde{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{x}^{b})$

Erroneous model $\mathbf{x}_i = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\{i-1\} \to i} \mathbf{x}_{i-1}$ used within the strong constraint 4DVar cost function.

Error in the model	Error in the model
not accounted for	accounted for
(use of $\hat{\mathbf{R}}$)	(use of $\hat{\mathbf{R}^*}$)
$\mathbf{x}^{a}_{0} = \mathbf{x}^{b} + \mathbf{\hat{K}}(\mathbf{\hat{y}} - \mathbf{\widetilde{H}}\mathbf{x}^{b})$ $\mathbf{\hat{K}} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\widetilde{H}}^{T}(\mathbf{\widetilde{H}}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\widetilde{H}}^{T} + \mathbf{\hat{R}})^{-1}$	$\mathbf{x}^{a}{}_{0}^{*} = \mathbf{x}^{b} + \mathbf{\hat{K}}^{*}(\mathbf{\hat{y}} - \mathbf{\widetilde{H}}\mathbf{x}^{b})$ $\mathbf{\hat{K}}^{*} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\widetilde{H}}^{T}(\mathbf{\widetilde{H}}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\widetilde{H}}^{T} + \mathbf{\hat{R}}^{*})^{-1}$

Erroneous model $\mathbf{x}_i = \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{\{i-1\} \to i} \mathbf{x}_{i-1}$ used within the strong constraint 4DVar cost function.

Error in the model	Error in the model
not accounted for	accounted for
(use of $\hat{\mathbf{R}}$)	(use of $\hat{\mathbf{R}^*}$)
$\mathbf{x}^{a}_{0} = \mathbf{x}^{b} + \mathbf{\hat{K}}(\mathbf{\hat{y}} - \mathbf{\widetilde{H}}\mathbf{x}^{b})$ $\mathbf{\hat{K}} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\widetilde{H}}^{T}(\mathbf{\widetilde{H}}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\widetilde{H}}^{T} + \mathbf{\hat{R}})^{-1}$	$\mathbf{x}^{a}_{0}^{*} = \mathbf{x}^{b} + \mathbf{\hat{K}}^{*}(\mathbf{\hat{y}} - \mathbf{\widetilde{H}}\mathbf{x}^{b})$ $\mathbf{\hat{K}}^{*} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{\widetilde{H}}^{T}(\mathbf{\widetilde{H}}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{\widetilde{H}}^{T} + \mathbf{\widehat{R}}^{*})^{-1}$
$\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\hat{K}} \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}) \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{\hat{K}} \mathbf{\hat{Q}}^* \mathbf{\hat{K}}^{\mathcal{T}}$	$\mathbf{A}^* = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\hat{K}}^* \mathbf{\widetilde{H}}) \mathbf{B}$

Table : Analysis error covariance matrices where $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^* = \hat{\mathbf{R}^*} - \hat{\mathbf{R}}$





• No model error is present: Best Linear Unbiased Estimate (BLUE) has $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{K}}\hat{\mathbf{H}})\mathbf{B}$ with $\hat{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{B}\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{T}(\hat{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{B}\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{T} + \hat{\mathbf{R}})^{-1}$.



- No model error is present: Best Linear Unbiased Estimate (BLUE) has $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{I} \hat{\mathbf{K}}\hat{\mathbf{H}})\mathbf{B}$ with $\hat{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{B}\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{T}(\hat{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{B}\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{T} + \hat{\mathbf{R}})^{-1}$.
- Model error is present: replacement of $\hat{\mathbf{R}}$ with $\hat{\mathbf{R}^*}$ leads to \mathbf{A}^* having the same form \Rightarrow analysis $\mathbf{x}^{a_0^*}$ is more statistically accurate than the analysis \mathbf{x}^{a_0} .

Increase in analysis accuracy: Scalar case

• Erroneous model $x_i = \frac{\widetilde{\beta}}{\beta} x_{i-1}$,

- true model state $x^{t}_{i} = \beta x^{t}_{i-1} = \frac{\widetilde{\beta} x^{t}_{i-1} + \eta_{i}}{\beta}$
- direct observations at time t_1 with operator $h_1 = 1$,

•
$$\sigma_{ob}^{*2} = \sigma_{ob}^2 + \sigma_q^2$$

Increase in analysis accuracy: Scalar case

- Erroneous model $x_i = \tilde{\beta} x_{i-1}$,
- true model state $x^{t}_{i} = \beta x^{t}_{i-1} = \tilde{\beta} x^{t}_{i-1} + \eta_{i}$,
- direct observations at time t_1 with operator $h_1 = 1$,

•
$$\sigma_{ob}^{*2} = \sigma_{ob}^2 + \sigma_q^2$$

Let $r = \frac{\sigma_b^2}{\sigma_{ob}^2}$, then the difference in the analysis error variance, $\sigma_a^2 - \sigma_a^{*2} = \frac{\sigma_q^4 r^2 \tilde{\beta}^2}{(\sigma_b^2 + \sigma_{ob}^2 + \sigma_q^2)(\tilde{\beta}^2 r + 1)^2} \ge 0.$ (3)

Increase in analysis accuracy: Scalar case

Increase in analysis accuracy more significant,

- increase in: model error, observation accuracy,
- decrease in: background accuracy



Figure : Increase in analysis accuracy for scalar case $\tilde{\beta} = 1$, $\sigma_{ob}^2 = 10^{-3}$

$$\mathbf{R}^{*}_{(i,k)} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{R}_{0} & \text{for } i=k=0\\ \mathbf{R}_{i} + \mathbf{H}_{i} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{\min(i,k)} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{j\rightarrow i} \mathbf{Q}_{j} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{j\rightarrow k}^{T} \\ \mathbf{H}_{i} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{\min(i,k)} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{j\rightarrow i} \mathbf{Q}_{j} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{j\rightarrow k}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} & \text{for } i=k\\ \mathbf{H}_{i} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{\min(i,k)} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{j\rightarrow i} \mathbf{Q}_{j} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{j\rightarrow k}^{T} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{T} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

How can we specify \mathbf{Q}_j ?

Estimation of combined error covariance matrix

We have developed a method to estimate $\mathbf{R}^{*}_{(i,k)}$. Let,

- $(\mathbf{d}^{o}{}_{b})_{i} = \mathbf{y}_{i} \mathbf{H}_{i}\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \rightarrow i}\mathbf{x}^{b}$,
- $(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{k} = \mathbf{y}_{k} \mathbf{H}_{k} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to k} \mathbf{x}^{b}.$

Estimation of combined error covariance matrix

We have developed a method to estimate $\mathbf{R}^*_{(i,k)}$. Let,

•
$$(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{i} = \mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{H}_{i} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{x}^{b}$$
,

•
$$(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{k} = \mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{H}_{k} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to k} \mathbf{x}^{b}$$
.

Then,

$$\mathbf{R}^{*}_{(i,k)} = E[(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{i}(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{k}^{T}] - \mathbf{H}_{i}\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to i}\mathbf{B}\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to k}^{T}\mathbf{H}_{k}^{T}.$$
 (4)

Kat Howes (University of Reading)

Estimation of combined error covariance matrix

We have developed a method to estimate $\mathbf{R}^{*}_{(i,k)}$. Let,

•
$$(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{i} = \mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{H}_{i} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{x}^{b}$$
,

•
$$(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{k} = \mathbf{y}_{k} - \mathbf{H}_{k} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to k} \mathbf{x}^{b}$$
.

Then,

$$\mathbf{R}^{*}_{(i,k)} = E[(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{i}(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{k}^{T}] - \mathbf{H}_{i}\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0\to i}\mathbf{B}\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0\to k}^{T}\mathbf{H}_{k}^{T}.$$
 (4)

Note the diagonal elements of $\mathbf{H}_i \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{B} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to i}^{T} \mathbf{H}_i^{T}$ can be estimated for a very large system using the randomisation method [1].

Kat Howes (University of Reading)

^[1] E. Andersson: Modelling the temporal evolution of innovation statistics *Proceedings of Workshop on recent* developments in data assimilation for atmosphere and ocean, ECMWF, Reading, 2003, pp. 153-164.

For estimation of $\mathbf{R}^{*}_{(i,k)}$ we need to evaluate $E[(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{i}(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{k}^{T}]$ where,

$$(\mathbf{d}^{o}{}_{b})_{i} = \mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{H}_{i} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{x}^{b}.$$

For estimation of $\mathbf{R}^{*}_{(i,k)}$ we need to evaluate $E[(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{i}(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{k}^{T}]$ where,

$$(\mathbf{d}^{o}{}_{b})_{i} = \mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{H}_{i} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{x}^{b}.$$

Require:

 sample of background vectors, observation vectors and erroneous models.

Idealized coupled nonlinear model

Couples the Lorenz 63 system and 2 linear equations (Molteni et al. [2]),

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= -\sigma x + \sigma y + \alpha v, \\ \dot{y} &= -xz + rx - y + \alpha w, \\ \dot{z} &= xy - bz, \\ \dot{w} &= -\Omega v - k(w - w^*) - \alpha y, \\ \dot{v} &= \Omega(w - w^*) - kv - \alpha x, \end{aligned}$$
(5)

where $\sigma = 10$, r = 30, $b = \frac{8}{3}$, k = 0.1, $\Omega = \frac{\pi}{10}$ and $w^* = 2$.

^[2] F. Molteni, L. Ferranti, T.N. Palmer, P. Viterbo: A dynamical interpretation of the global response to equatorial Pacific SST anomalies *Journal of climate*, vol.6, 1993, pp. 777-795.

Idealized coupled nonlinear model

Couples the Lorenz 63 system and 2 linear equations (Molteni et al. [2]),

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= -\sigma x + \sigma y + \alpha v, \\ \dot{y} &= -xz + rx - y + \alpha w, \\ \dot{z} &= xy - bz, \\ \dot{w} &= -\Omega v - k(w - w^*) - \alpha y, \\ \dot{v} &= \Omega(w - w^*) - kv - \alpha x, \end{aligned}$$
(5)

where $\sigma = 10$, r = 30, $b = \frac{8}{3}$, k = 0.1, $\Omega = \frac{\pi}{10}$ and $w^* = 2$.

 Runge-Kutta 2nd order method with fixed time step Δt = 0.01 used to approximate solution of coupled ODE's.

^[2] F. Molteni, L. Ferranti, T.N. Palmer, P. Viterbo: A dynamical interpretation of the global response to equatorial Pacific SST anomalies *Journal of climate*, vol.6, 1993, pp. 777-795.

True idealized coupled nonlinear model

• True initial conditions on the coupled model attractor: $\mathbf{x}^{t}_{0} = (-3.4866, -5.7699, 18.341, -10.7175, -7.1902).$

We add random forcing at each time-step to obtain the true model state at the next time-step,

$$\mathbf{x}_i^t = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\{i-1\} \to i}(\mathbf{x}_{i-1}^t) + \boldsymbol{\eta}_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots 50,$$

• where the model error covariance matrix \mathbf{Q}_i (i = 1, 2, ..., 50) is diagonal with variances set to 0.02, 0.02, 0.2, 0.01, 0.01.

- Assimilation window length of 50 time-steps.
- Background error covariance matrix **B** with standard deviations approximately 10% of the true initial conditions.
- Direct observations every 10 time-steps with diagonal error covariance matrix **R**_i in which the standard deviations are approximately 2% of the maximum absolute value of each respective variable,
- Perturb the true model states using **B** and **R**_i respectively to produce background model state **x**^b and observations **y**_i.

Twin experiment: Compare 4DVar analysis accuracy using ${\bm R}^*$ as opposed to ${\bm \hat R}.$

Estimate diagonal entries of \mathbf{R}^* at observation times:

• Evaluate sample size of 1,000 innovations $(\mathbf{d}^{o}_{b})_{i} = \mathbf{y}_{i} - \mathbf{H}_{i} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \rightarrow i} \mathbf{x}^{b}$ (i=10,20,30,40,50).

- Estimate diagonal entries of $E[(\mathbf{d}^o_b)_i(\mathbf{d}^o_b)_i^T]$.
- Estimate combined model error and observation error variances using:

•
$$\mathbf{R}^*_{(i,i)} = E[(\mathbf{d}^o_b)_i (\mathbf{d}^o_b)_i^T] - \mathbf{H}_i \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to i} \mathbf{B} \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_{0 \to i}^T \mathbf{H}_i^T.$$

Numerical experiments: results



Figure : Analysis RMSE and the subsequent RMSE of the analysis trajectories over the assimilation window over a sample of 100 data assimilation runs.

Numerical experiments: results



Figure : Results from a sample of 100 data assimilation runs in each of (a), (b) and (c).



Figure : Results from a sample of 100 data assimilation runs in each of (a) and (d).

April 2016 22 / 27

When the model used in 4DVar is erroneous, using \mathbf{R}^* as opposed to $\hat{\mathbf{R}}$ increases the analysis accuracy at the initial time.

Experimental results have shown the increase is most significant when,

- the model error is large,
- the observations are very accurate,
- the background is very inaccurate.

We know:

- Previously samples of innovation vectors have been collected (in areas where frequent observations were available) [1].
- The observational data was collected over short time period, for example in hourly bins [1].

^[1] E. Andersson: Modelling the temporal evolution of innovation statistics *Proceedings of Workshop on recent* developments in data assimilation for atmosphere and ocean, *ECMWF*, Reading, 2003, pp. 153-164. [3] J.M. Brankart et al.: A generic approach to explicit simulation of uncertainty in the NEMO model *Geoscientific Model Development*, vol.8, 2015, pp. 1285-1297.

We know:

- Previously samples of innovation vectors have been collected (in areas where frequent observations were available) [1].
- The observational data was collected over short time period, for example in hourly bins [1].

We also know:

• Ensemble prediction systems represent random error in a model forecast using stochastic physics [3].

^[1] E. Andersson: Modelling the temporal evolution of innovation statistics *Proceedings of Workshop on recent* developments in data assimilation for atmosphere and ocean, *ECMWF*, *Reading*, 2003, pp. 153-164. [3] J.M. Brankart et al.: A generic approach to explicit simulation of uncertainty in the NEMO model *Geoscientific Model Development*, **vol.8**, 2015, pp. 1285-1297.

Idea:

• Use an ensemble prediction system to evolve a sample of background vectors to the respective times of a sample of observation vectors.

Idea:

• Use an ensemble prediction system to evolve a sample of background vectors to the respective times of a sample of observation vectors.

Considerations:

• What are the implications of using observations accross a short time period? Estimation of a time-averaged **R**^{*}.

Idea:

• Use an ensemble prediction system to evolve a sample of background vectors to the respective times of a sample of observation vectors.

Considerations:

- What are the implications of using observations accross a short time period? Estimation of a time-averaged **R**^{*}.
- Do the stochastic physics used to run an ensemble of forecasts account for all random error in the model?

Summary

- Derived an expression for the true covariance of the error in the observational cost function term in strong constraint 4DVar in the presence of model error.
- This matrix contains both model error and observation error statistics.

Summary

- Derived an expression for the true covariance of the error in the observational cost function term in strong constraint 4DVar in the presence of model error.
- This matrix contains both model error and observation error statistics.
- Developed a method to estimate this combined matrix using diagnostics.

Summary

- Derived an expression for the true covariance of the error in the observational cost function term in strong constraint 4DVar in the presence of model error.
- This matrix contains both model error and observation error statistics.
- Developed a method to estimate this combined matrix using diagnostics.
- We have shown using the combined model error and observation error covariance matrix, as opposed to only the observation error covariance matrix, increases the analysis accuracy.

- Derived an expression for the true covariance of the error in the observational cost function term in strong constraint 4DVar in the presence of model error.
- This matrix contains both model error and observation error statistics.
- Developed a method to estimate this combined matrix using diagnostics.
- We have shown using the combined model error and observation error covariance matrix, as opposed to only the observation error covariance matrix, increases the analysis accuracy.
- Application of the method suited to reanalysis, where the objective is to best estimate the analysis at the initial time and start of an assimilation window (not beneficially applicable to long term forecasts).

Thank you for listening

Any questions?

Kat Howes (University of Reading)

∃ ≻