

Copernicus C3S Projection Workshop 20-21 April 2015 Reading



Access to CMIP climate projections: strengths, weaknesses & perspectives Sylvie Joussaume CNRS, IPSL, Saclay, France Coordinator of FP7 IS-ENES2 Chair ENES Board



Modeling Intercomparison Project Cycles WCRP & WGNE

AMIP (atmosphere only) started in 1990 – PCMDI - Larry Gates vison From then the "MIP family" has grown (PMIP the second one in 1991)

Working Group on Coupled Models (since 1995): CMIP WCRP Working Group on Coupled Models CMIP + other MIPs - used in TAR CMIP3 + other MIPs - used in AR4 CMIP5 : NEW DESIGN, more extensive - used in AR5 CMIP6 starting now

Coordinated numerical experiments In support of climate science & larger user communities Extensively used for IPCC ARs:

Model evaluation / Future climate / Process studies

Common database & common analysesCMIP3 : > 250 publications (2007)/ CMIP5 > 750 publications (2014)2500 registered users/ 2014 : ESGF 10 000 users





| 27 modelling groups |
|----------------------------|
| 58 models |

1 Canada

| CanAM4 |
|--------------------|
| CanCM4 |
| CanESM2 |
| CESM1(BGC) |
| CESM1(CAM5) |
| CESM1(CAM5.1, FV2) |
| CESM1(FAST CHEM) |
| CESM1(WACCM) |
| CCSM4 |
| GFDL-CM2.1 |
| GFDL-CM3 |
| GFDL-ESM2G |
| GFDL-ESM2M |
| GFDL-HIRAM-C180 |
| GFDL-HIRAM-C360 |
| GEOS-5 |
| GISS-E2-H |
| GISS-E2-H-CC |
| GISS-E2-R |
| GISS-E2-R-CC |
| CFSv2-2011 |
| |

6 USA

| | NorESM1-M | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| NCC | NorESM1-ME | | | | | |
| | MPI-ESM-LR | | | | | |
| | MPI-ESM-MR | | | | | |
| MPI-M | MPI-ESM-P | | | | | |
| | HadCM3 | | | | | |
| | HadGEM2-A | | | | | |
| | HadGEM2-CC | | | | | |
| МОНС | HadGEM2-ES | | | | | |
| EC-EARTH | EC-EARTH | | | | | |
| | IPSL-CM5A-LR | | | | | |
| | IPSL-CM5A-MR | | | | | |
| IPSL | IPSL-CM5B-LR | | | | | |
| CNRM-CERFACS | CNRM-CM5 | | | | | |
| | CMCC-CESM | | | | | |
| | CMCC-CM | | | | | |
| СМСС | CMCC-CMS | | | | | |
| INM | INM-CM4 | | | | | |
| 1 Russia | | | | | | |
| | 2 Australia | | | | | |

7 in Europe



5 China / 1 Korea

| | FGOALS-gl | | | |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|
| LASG-IAP | FGOALS-s2 | | | |
| LASG-CESS | FGOALS-g2 | | | |
| GCESS | BNU-ESM | | | |
| FIO | FIO-ESM | | | |
| | BCC-CSM1.1(m) | | | |
| BCC | BCC-CSM1.1 | | | |
| NIMR/KMA | HadGEM2-AO | | | |
| NICAM | NICAM.09 | | | |
| | MRI-AGCM3.2H | | | |
| | MRI-AGCM3.2S | | | |
| MRI | MRI-CGCM3 | | | |
| | MIROC-ESM | | | |
| MIROC | MIROC-ESM-CHEM | | | |
| | MIROC4h | | | |
| MIROC | MIROC5 | | | |
| CSIRO-QCCCE | CSIRO-Mk3.6.0 | | | |
| | ACCESS1.0 | | | |
| CSIRO-BOM | ACCESS1.3 | | | |
| | LASG-IAP LASG-CESS GCESS FIO BCC NIMR/KMA NICAM MRI MIROC CSIRO-QCCCE CSIRO-BOM | | | |



| IPCC AR5 TS (20 | IPCC AR5 TS (2014) | | 046–2065 | 2081–2100 | |
|---|--------------------|------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| | Scenario | Mean | <i>Likely</i> range ^c | Mean | Likely range ^c |
| Global Mean Surface Temperature Change (°C)ª | RCP2.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 to 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.3 to 1.7 |
| | RCP4.5 | 1.4 | 0.9 to 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 to 2.6 |
| | RCP6.0 | 1.3 | 0.8 to 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.4 to 3.1 |
| | RCP8.5 | 2.0 | 1.4 to 2.6 | 3.7 | 2.6 to 4.8 |



Decadal climate predictions



See talk by Francisco Doblas-Reyes





A common infrastructure distributed database & standards



CMIP5: 2 PB







See my talk at CDS WK

Adoption of common standards/ conventions for the:

Structure and format of climate data Metadata used to describe climate data Vocabulary used for categorizing the diversity of model output

& Documentation of Model/experiments (ES-DOC) Standardization enables/facilitates Automation in the preparation of model output Analysis by researchers using uniform methods for reading and interpreting data Unique identification of files Sharing of data across the ESGF network

Ref: from Doutriaux and Taylor, 4th ESGF meeting, 12/2014

Multi-model ensemble : informs on robustness of changes



Model evaluation



OBS other set of observations

LW outgoing radiation

SW cloud radiative effect

CMIP5 model performance

Normalized

IPCC AR5 WGI, chap 9 Based on Gleckler etal. (2008)



Good performance at large regional scale/ weak at smaller scale





Regional climate model (~ 44-12 km)

See CORDEX talks Daniela Jacob& Filipo Giorgi



Impact models: use of bias corrected GCM simulations



Temperature change at which ecosystems are at severe risk of change ISIMIP from CMIP5

Inter_Sectoral Impact MIP

Warszawski et al. ERL (2013)

Impact on malaria distribution



rcp26 2080s rcp45 2080s rcp45 2080s rcp60 2080s rcp60 2080s rcp65 2080s rcp60 2080s rcp65 2080s rcp65





Perspectives





WCRP Grand Challenges: (1) Clouds, circulation and climate sensitivity, (2) Changes in cryosphere, (3) Climate extremes, (4) Regional climate information, (5) Regional sea-level rise, and (6) Water availability, plus an additional theme on "Biogeochemical forcings and feedbacks"

Towards higher spatial resolution

Summer precipitation 2005 Simulations global climate model HADGEM3 Resolutions 135km → 12km PRACE UPSCALE project

HiResMIP:

investigate 25 km resolution 1950-2050 - AMIP / Coupled R. Haarsma& M/ Roberts

H2020 PRIMAVERA Project M. Roberts & P.L. Vidale



Courtesy of PL Vidale (NCAS) & M. Roberts (MO/HC)







Need to improve model parameterisations e.g. clouds



Models



mean clcalipso 1950/01-2009/12 Model:CCCMA

Better documented uncertainties associated with internal variability

L. Terray, Workshop Adaptation and uncertainties, June 2012, http://www.gisclimat.fr



IS-ENES, Circle2 Eranet and EEA **Data needs for the impact community** Copenhagen, 11-12 january 2011



Access to both global and regional climate change simulations Need for processing tools and processed data Provide guidance on uncertainties and how to use climate models results Eventually provide different sources of information in one linked system Improve access to data and training IS-ENES Climate4impact portal

- Need multiple access routes uncluttered for the expert user, with detailed guidance and explanation of options for others;
- Clear need for a stable interface research project portals which come and go will not meet user needs;
- Regular data updates;
- Clear guidance;
- Multiple data formats;
- Etc;





Summary

Strengths

Coordinated large ensemble:

Better ensemble mean, range of uncertainty Set of consistent experiments Well evaluated

Source for downscaling, computation of various indicators Infrastructure: common database with common standards for data & metadata

Weaknesses / Limitations

Limited resolution, better at large regional scale (>2000 km) Biases Downscaling & bias corrections CORDEX added value - Also with limitations

Perspectives

New CMIP6 set: increased model resolution, improved processes CMIP6 and beyond: future global coupled simulations at 25 km Strong limitations of computing power

C3S Access to projections : CMIP as a strong basis Overview of climate changes – complemented by CORDEX Source for tailored downscaling and indicators Need for guidance

Thank you !

SeaWIFS Project (NASA/GSFC et Orbimage)