MINISTÉRIO DA CIÊNCIA E TECNOLOGIA INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE PESQUISAS ESPACIAIS



Grid and sub-grid scale processes in atmospheric tracer transport modeling

Saulo R. Freitas saulo.freitas@cptec.inpe.br - <u>http://meioambiente.cptec.inpe.br</u>



ECMWF Annual Seminar 1-4 September 2015



Contents

- Motivation
- Fundamental Equations
- Mass continuity Equation
- Grid and sub-grid scale transports
- Emissions
- WGNE NWP-Aerosol project



Atmospheric Tracer Modelling

- Air pollution / air quality forecast
- Greenhouse gases
- Aerosol interaction with radiation and clouds
- Biogeochemical cycles
- Volcanic Ash forecast









Effect of smoke on inhibition of cloud formation

Satellite images of the Amazon rainforest <u>rarely</u> show <u>smoke and cumulus clouds together</u>.

11/August/2002 – Brazilian Amazon



15/November/2002 – Brazilian Amazon



Satellite images of the Amazon rainforest rarely show smoke and cumulus clouds together. Smoke, mainly from agricultural fires, displaces the cumulus clouds that normally form above the forest each afternoon. (NASA image by Jesse Allen and Robert Simmon)

A uniform layer of scattered cumulus clouds is typically present, along with some thunderstorms, over the Amazon rainforest. Compare this image of a day with little smoke, with the image above. Both images were acquired by the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer aboard NASA's Aqua satellite, on August 11 (top) and November 15 (bottom), 2002.

Koren et al., 2004 - Science

PNNL/USA: Air Pollution Amplified Extreme Weather, Floods in China in 2013



- Even though the flood was the worst in 50 years in, the weather forecast missed it, potentially because the pollution effect discovered in this study is not included in weather forecast models.
- The significance of human-caused pollution emissions to modify weather and climate is the important finding of this study.
- Understanding that reducing pollution locally in the Sichuan Basin would substantially alleviate downwind floods has important socioeconomic implications and is especially useful for policy-makers.



CPTEC/INPE developments on integrated atmospheric modeling:



Δx ~ 10 -100 km

Brazilian developments on the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System BRAMS (v. 5.1 - 2015)

www.brams.cptec.inpe.br



Freitas et al., ACP 2009, Moreira et al., GMD 2013, Longo et al., GMD 2013

Fundamental Equations Governing the Evolution of the Atmosphere



$$\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} = -\vec{v} \cdot \nabla \vec{v} - \frac{1}{\rho_a} \nabla p - g\vec{k} - 2\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{v} + \vec{F}_{visc}$$
$$\frac{\partial \rho_a}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \rho_a \vec{v}$$
$$\frac{\partial r_n}{\partial t} = -\vec{v} \cdot \nabla r_n + Q_{r_n}(s_{[1]}, \dots, s_{[\mu]}; \dots), n = 1, \eta$$
$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = -\vec{v} \cdot \nabla \theta + Q_{\theta}(s_{[1]}, \dots, s_{[\mu]}; \dots)$$
Equation of state (perfect or ideal gas)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial s_{[1]}}{\partial t} &= -\vec{v} \cdot \nabla s_{[1]} + \mathcal{Q}_{s_{[1]}}(s_{[1]}, \dots, s_{[\mu]}; \theta, p, r_n, R...) \\ \frac{\partial s_{[2]}}{\partial t} &= -\vec{v} \cdot \nabla s_{[2]} + \mathcal{Q}_{s_{[2]}}(s_{[1]}, \dots, s_{[\mu]}; \theta, p, r_n, R, ...) \\ \dots \\ \frac{\partial s_{[\mu]}}{\partial t} &= -\vec{v} \cdot \nabla s_{[\mu]} + \mathcal{Q}_{s_{[\mu]}}(s_{[1]}, \dots, s_{[\mu]}; \theta, p, r_n, R, ...) \end{aligned}$$

(1) Fundamental equations:

- Navier-Stokes,
- Mass conservation for air and water,
- 1st Law of Thermodynamics,
- Eq. of state.

(2) mass continuity equations for tracers (gases/aerosols)

**For double-moment cloud microphysics, number concentration continuity equations are also solved. The same for some aerosol models.



"Pure" meteorological and off-line, on-line or coupled atmospheric-chemistry models

- If only the system of eq. (1) is solved using prescribed set of $[s_{[1]}, ..., s_{[\mu]}]$ \Rightarrow "pure" meteorological model.
- If only the system of eq. (2) is solved using prescribed set of $[u, v, w, \theta, p, r_n, ...]$ \Rightarrow off - line chemistry transport model.

If both systems are solved simultaneously (the same dynamics)
but using a prescribed set of [s_[1],...,s_[µ]].
⇒ on - line chemistry transport model.

If both systems are solved simultaneously (the same dynamics) but system
(1) uses the solution [s_[1],...,s_[µ]] of system (2):
⇒ coupled atmospheric - chemistry transport model.

Mass continuity equation: Mathematically describes the dynamical and chemical processes that determine the distribution of chemical species.

transport sources / sinks / chemical forcing

 $\frac{\partial s_{[\eta]}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla s_{[\eta]} = \frac{Q_{[\eta]}}{\rho} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{ds_{[\eta]}}{dt} = \frac{Q_{[\eta]}}{\rho_a}$

 $\frac{\partial \rho_{[\eta]}}{\partial t} + \underbrace{\nabla \cdot (\rho_{[\eta]} \vec{v})}_{\swarrow} = Q_{[\eta]}$

Flux form :

Advective form :

where,

- $ho_{[\eta]}$ is the mass (or number) density of species η
- ρ_a is the air mass (or number) density
- $$\begin{split} s_{[\eta]} &= \frac{\rho_{[\eta]}}{\rho_a} & \text{is the mass (or volume) mixing ratio} \\ Q_{[\eta]} & \text{is the source } (E) / \operatorname{sink} (R) \text{ and } / \text{ or} \\ & \text{chemical production } / \operatorname{loss} (P L) \text{ rate of species } \eta \\ \vec{v} & \text{is the wind velocity vector} \end{split}$$

One important propertie: if $Q_n = 0 \Rightarrow$ no forcing

 $\frac{ds_{\eta}}{dt} = 0 \Rightarrow s_{\eta} = cte :: \text{ following the air parcel (the Lagrangian point of view)}$











transport of tracer by the mean wind or grid-scale advection term



sub-grid transport by the un-resolved flows (turbulence, cumulus convection, e.g.)

To solve the mass conservation equation:

- We need parameterizations and closures to determine the turbulent or un-resolved fluxes: $(\overline{u's'}, \overline{v's'}, \overline{w's'})$
- We need to define the sources/sinks and the chemical forcing at grid scale: Q_s .
- We need the grid scale wind field: $(\overline{u}, \overline{v}, \overline{w})$.
- We need numerical methods and initial and boundary conditions. .
- The solution will provide us the 4d **mean tracer mixing ratio** field at the grid points (x_i, y_j, z_k) and discrete time levels t_n : $\overline{S} = \overline{S}(x_i, y_j, z_k, t_n)$ •
- However, remember that the model will provide the <u>grid box mean</u>, and so, you must take this into account when comparing model solution with field observations. •

Derivation at the end of this presentation / background section



Comparison between model and local observation











Numerical solution of the mass continuity equation: using <u>splitting operator</u>

$$\left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{adv} + \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{turb}_{CLP} + \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{conv} + \dots + \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{chem}$$

- Current computational resources do not allow numerical solution of the continuity equation with all terms simultaneously (the transport term couples the 3 space dimensions with N species = Nx Ny Nz Nspecies $\sim 10^4 - 10^5$ equations)
- The splitting operator methodology is commonly used to solve each process independently and then couple the various changes resulting from the separate partial calculations (Yanenko 1971, Seinfeld and Pandis 1998, Lanser and Verwer 1998).
- The final solution can be achieved by the parallel or sequential (direct, symmetrical, weighted) techniques.

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{adv} = -\sum_{i} \overline{u}_{i} \frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial x_{i}} \\ \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{turb} = -\frac{1}{\rho_{0}} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial \rho_{0} \left(\overline{u'_{i}s'}\right)_{turb}}{\partial x_{i}} \\ \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{conv} = -\frac{1}{\rho_{0}} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial \rho_{0} \left(\overline{u'_{i}s'}\right)_{conv}}{\partial x_{i}} \\ \dots \\ \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{emissions} = E \\ \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{chem} = P - L \end{cases}$$









Desired properties:

- mass conserving
- monotonicity and shape preserving
- positive definite
- local
- accurate
- stable
- efficient from computational point of view
- multi-tracer computational efficiency (re-use of repeated calculations)
- keeps tracers non-linear correlation
- multi component mass conserving (mainly for aerosol and cloud microphysics models)

For a comprehensive review:

Lauritzen et al.: Atmospheric transport schemes: desirable properties and a semi-Lagrangian view on finite-volume discretizations. Numerical Techniques for Global Atmospheric Models, 2011.

Desired properties of numerical schemes for advection

The advection equation for tracers / pollutants η :

$$\frac{\partial \rho_{\eta}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_{\eta} \vec{v}) = 0 \quad \text{the flux form.}$$
$$\frac{\partial s_{\eta}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla s_{\eta} = 0 \quad \text{the advective form.}$$



- Monotonic schemes are necessary for transporting fields with sharp gradients:
 - emissions from urban areas, volcanoes, fires
 - Clouds fields
 - Temperature in cold fronts

Monotonicity of Advection Schemes







Advection of a square in a divergent wind



Tracer with initial mixing ratio = 100 ppbv 12 hours integration

Simulation with no numerical or physical based diffusion

Advection of a square in a divergent wind



Impact of a monotonic advection scheme on the transport of isolated biomass burning smoke plumes in Amazon basin









Tarong, Queensland (AUS), stack height: 210 m, $z_i = 1400$ m, $w^* = 2.5$ ms⁻¹. Photo: Geoff Lane, CSIRO (AUS)



Sub-grid scale <u>diffusive</u> transport (daytime)



Planetary boundary layer over Sao Paulo city and trapped air pollution

Sub-grid scale diffusive transport

Diffusive transport:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{PBL} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial(\rho_0 \overline{u'_i s'})}{\partial x_i}$$

A simple approach to the unresolved transport is by using K-theory in which the covariances are evaluated as the product of an eddy mixing coefficient and the gradient of the transported mean quantity:

$$\overline{u_i's'} = -K_{h_i} \frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial x_i}$$

Diffusion coefficients need to be specified as a function of flow characteristics (e.g. shear, stability, length scales).



boundary layer eddies

Sub-grid scale transport by diffusion in the PBL



The mass continuity equation after Reynolds decomposition



Convective tracer transport by deep clouds

INPE

Cloud venting is a very important mechanism transporting pollutants from the PBL to the upper levels, affecting the chemistry of troposphere and the biogeochemical cycles.





CO mixing ratio (ppbv) observed on 06/14/1985 nearby Oklahoma City (US). Dashed isolines refer to climatological values (Dickerson et al., 1987).

Simulation at 2.5 km horizontal grid length







Simulation at 2.5 km horizontal grid length





Sub-grid scale transport processes k ≰ \mathbb{R} $\frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial t} + \overline{u} \frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial x} + \overline{v} \frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial y} + \overline{w} \frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\Gamma_0} \left(\frac{\mathbb{R} \Gamma_0 u' f'}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_0 v' f'}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_0 w' f'}{\partial z} \right) + \overline{Q_f}$ transport of scalar by the mean wind sub-grid transport by the un-resolved flow or grid-scale advection term (turbulence, cumulus convection, e.g.) $\left(\frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{convective}} = -\frac{1}{\Gamma_0} \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_0 u' f'}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_0 v' f'}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_0 w' f'}{\partial z} \right)$ sub-grid scale transport $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{convective}} \approx -\frac{1}{\Gamma_0} \frac{\partial \Gamma_0 w' f'}{\partial z}$ associated with the cumulus

w'f' is the eddy convective flux How to estimate ?

convection







Ш

 $w'\phi'$: the vertical eddy transport of ϕ per unit horizontal area and air density.

 $\overline{w'\phi'} = \overline{w\phi} - \overline{w}\overline{\phi} = \sigma(1-\sigma)(\overline{w}^c - \overline{w}^e)(\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi}^e)$

 $\sigma = \frac{a}{A}$ fraction of active cumulus area

 ϕ^c, ϕ^e scalar quantity in cloud and in the environment

 w^c, w^e the same for vertical velocity



with some algebra:

total area A,

cumulus area *a*,

* Derivation at the end of this presentation / background section

The Vertical Eddy Transport: the approximation of small area covered by cumulus

Vertical eddy transport:

$$\overline{w'\phi'} = \overline{w\phi} - \overline{w}\overline{\phi} = \sigma(1-\sigma)(\overline{w}^c - \overline{w}^e)(\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi}^e)$$

Assume the small area approximation:

$$\sigma \ll 1 \Rightarrow (1 - \sigma) \approx 1; \quad \overline{w}^c \gg \overline{w}^e \text{ and } \overline{\phi}^e \approx \overline{\phi}$$

 $\therefore \overline{w'\phi'} = \sigma \overline{w}^{c^*} (\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi})$

Define convective mass flux : $m_c = \overline{\rho}_{air} \sigma \overline{w}^{c^*}$



 $\therefore \left| \overline{w'\phi'} = \frac{m_c(\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi})}{\overline{\rho}} \right| \rightarrow \frac{\text{aproximation used by the most conven-}}{\text{tional mass-flux based parameterizations}}$

Here \boldsymbol{m}_{c} is determined using a 'closure' formulation.

See at the background section, the formulation with scale dependence following the unified parameterization approach of Arakawa et al., (2011)

Parameterized deep/shallow convective transport



Deep Convective Transport of CO 07 Sep 2002 - Cold front approach







The CO₂ profile: diurnal variation and the rectifier effect



The CO₂ profile: diurnal variation and <u>the rectifier effect</u>











Diurnal Cycle of CO₂ over the Amazon Basin



Simulation with BRAMS + JULES-UK surface scheme
Improved diurnal cycle of deep convection with Bechtold et al new closure for non-equilibrium convection



- 5 days forecast of CP precip (mm/h)
- Model grid spacing 27km
- Area average over Amz. Basin
- BLUE = diurnal cycle closure OFF
- RED = diurnal cycle closure ON
- GREEN= sfc solar radiation





Better transition from shallow to deep convection

Bechtold et al., 2014 JAS.

Diurnal Cycle of the Precipitation over the Amazon and impacts on the convective transport of CO2







Convective scheme (in collaboration w/ G. Grell - NOAA/GSD) Scale-aware/Aerosol-aware

- Stochastic approach adapted from the Grell-Devenyi (2002) scheme
- Deep and shallow (non-precipitating) plumes
- Scale awareness through Arakawa approach (2011) or spreading of subsidence.



Proportionality between precipitation efficiency (PE) and total normalized condensate (I₁), requiring determination of the proportionality constant C_{pr}

- Transport of momentum
- Convective (deep and shallow) transport of tracers, including scavenging
- Fully mass conservative, including water and tracers
- New closure from P. Bechtold et al (2014) => improved the diurnal cycle

BRAMS simulations for South America clean / polluted – dx 20 km – 24h accumulated (mm)



Mass continuity equation: the forcing term



PL : chemical reactions

E : emission (biomass burning, urban-industrial processes ...)

photodissociation - kinetics

homogeneous – heterogeneous)

R : sink (dry and wet deposition)



 $Q_{s} = E + PL + R$







- Anthropogenic sources (urban-industrial-transport)
 - Biogenic
- Charcoal production, waste agric. burning
- Biomass burning
- Volcanoes
- Soil dust (mineral aerosol)
- Sea salt



1970-2005 1x1, 0.5x0.5, 0.1x0.1 degree Species: 1. CO 2. NOX 3. CO2 4. CH4 5. SO2 6. N2O 7. SF6 8. NMVOC



Anthropogenic sources - global inventories:

Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research

(EDGAR)

http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/index.php

Global - Regional – Local Emissions Inventories





CPEC



Including emissions in the model

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{urban-industrial-transportation (land-ocean)} \\ \mbox{charcoal prduction, wast agric. burning} \\ \mbox{biogenic} \\ \mbox{biogenic} \\ \mbox{emission flux: } F_{\eta}, \mbox{ units: } \mbox{kg}[\eta] \mbox{ m}^{-2} \\ \mbox{source term} \\ \mbox{(mass mixing ratio)} \\ \end{array} \right\} : E_{\eta} = \frac{F_{\eta}}{\rho_{air} \Delta z_{first phys.}}, \mbox{ units: } (\frac{\mbox{kg}[\eta]}{\mbox{kg}[air]}) \\ \end{array}$

Diurnal cycle of the urban emission:



mass mixing ratio tendency: $E_{\eta}(t) = r(t)E_{\eta}$, units: $(\frac{kg[\eta]}{kg[air]s})$



Biomass Burning Emissions

 Fundamental reaction and primary emissions:

 $[CH_2O]+O_2 \rightarrow CO_2+H_2O+heat$

- Secondary emissions: CO, $NO_{x'}$ hydrocarbons (CH₄, e.g.), particulate material, etc.
- Greenhouse gases: CO₂, N₂O, CH₄
- CO is an ozone precursor.

• Particulate material has also radiative and microphysics effects with potential impact on the hydrological cycle.

Biomass burning emissions inventory: regional scale - daily basis

density of carbon data



CO source emission (kg m⁻²day⁻¹)

Using the Fire Radiative Power (FRP) to estimate fire emissions (the up-down approach)





Adapted from Riggan et al., 2004

Smoke plume rise in the lower troposphere



CPEC



Smoke injected into the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere: the Chisholm forest fire case



Chisholm forest fire (May 23, 2001, Canada) provides confirming evidence that dense smoke can reach the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere. Source: Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR), JPL.



How to determine the actual height of the injection layer associated with the plume rise from vegetation fires ?

Deforestation fires in Rondônia, Brazil, 2002



Plume-rise of vegetation fires due to the strong initial buoyancy produced by the combustion process.



How to include this sub-grid scale transport \in large scale models?

A simple way:

 $Q = \frac{hbA}{\Delta t} \begin{cases} h = 15500 \sim 20000 \text{ kJ/kg} \\ b = \text{biomass burned} \\ A = \text{burned area} \\ \Delta t = \text{duration of the fire(flaming phase)} \end{cases}$ $E_c = (0.4 - 0.8)Q$ (McCarter&Brido, 1965) use Manins (1985) formula (stably stratified atmosphere): $z=1434(E_{c})^{1/4}\begin{cases} E_{c} \text{ in gigaWatts}\\ z \text{ in meters} \end{cases}$

How to include this sub-grid scale transport in large scale models?

Another way:

Injection height in terms of the frontal fire intensity: **Fi** = **H** w **r** where:

- H is the combustion heat of the fuel
- w is the rate of fuel consumption
- r is the rate of fire propagation.

Lavoué et al. (2000) proposes the following relationship between the fire intensity and the injection height for boreal forest : *InjectionH=0.23 Fi*









- Use a 1D CM embedded in each column of the large-scale atmospheric-chemistry transport model;
- Each grid box with fires, pass the large-scale condition of the host model to the 1D CM and the Morton et al. (1956) lower boundary condition for the vertical velocity and temperature excess of the in-plume air parcels;
- Resolve explicitly the motion of the plume;
- Return to the host model with the final rise of the plume (or the injection layer);
- Take account final rise of the plume at the source emission, releasing material emitted at flaming phase at this layer.











- U equation
 1st thermod law
 - water vapor conservation

W equation

- cloud water conservation
- rain/ice conservation
- equation for plume size

 $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \gamma g B - \frac{2\alpha}{R} w^2 - \delta_{entr} w$ $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = -\frac{2\alpha}{R} |w| (u - u_e) - \delta_{entr} (u - u_e)$ $\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = -w \frac{g}{c_p} - \frac{2\alpha}{R} |w| (T - T_e) + \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}\right)_{micro-} - \delta_{entr} (T - T_e)$ $\frac{\partial r_{v}}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial r_{v}}{\partial z} = -\frac{2\alpha}{R} |w| (r_{v} - r_{ve}) + \left(\frac{\partial r_{v}}{\partial t}\right)_{microe-} -\delta_{entr}(r_{v} - r_{ve})$ $\frac{\partial r_c}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial r_c}{\partial z} = -\frac{2\alpha}{R} |w| r_c + \left(\frac{\partial r_c}{\partial t}\right)_{\substack{\text{micro-}\\\text{physics}}} - \delta_{entr} r_c$ $\frac{\partial r_{ice,rain}}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial r_{ice,rain}}{\partial z} = -\frac{2\alpha}{R} |w| r_{ice,rain} + \left(\frac{\partial r_{ice,rain}}{\partial t}\right)_{micro-} + \text{sedim} - \delta_{entr} r_{ice,rain}$ $\frac{\partial R}{\partial t} + w \frac{\partial R}{\partial z} = + \frac{6\alpha}{5R} |w| R + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{entr} R$ bulk microphysics: $\left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t}\right)_{\substack{\text{micro-}\\\text{physics}}} (\xi = T, r_v, r_c, r_{rain}, r_{ice}), \text{ sedim} \begin{cases} bulk \text{ microphysics:}\\ Kessler, 1969; Berry, 1967\\ Ogura \& Takabashi 1071 \end{cases}$ Ogura & Takahashi,1971

The lower boundary condition

 $F = \frac{g\Re}{\pi c_p} \frac{E}{p_e} A \text{ buoyancy flux}$ $R = \frac{6\alpha}{5} z \text{ plume radius}$

The closure

A ° plume area » instantaneous fire size E ° convective energy from fire (Wm⁻²) E @ 0.4 - 0.8 X_{flux} (McCarter & Broido, 1965) X_{flux} (heat flux) - from FRP (MODIS, GOES, etc)

Freitas et al., GRL 2006, ACP 2007, 2010, Paugam et al., ACPD 2015

The 1-d in-line cloud model: governing equations

Including plume rise sub-grid scale transport in low resolution atmospheric models





Including emission in the model

Biomass burning and wildfires Smoldering : mostly surface emission.

Flaming: mostly direct injection in the PBL, free troposphere or stratosphere.



CATT-BRAMS comparison with AIRS 500 hPa CO

Model CO (ppbv) at ~5.8 km



In-line 1D pyro-cloud to estimate the injection layer

- Advantages:
 - Physical based formulation
 - Uses the actual atmospheric stability (hourly, diurnal, seasonal variability)
 - Includes the ambient wind interaction with the smoke plume (dilution, momentum exchange, bent-over)
 - Account for the cloud microphysics additional buoyancy (increase the final height)
- Disadvantages or requirements
 - Needs fire size***
 - Needs heat flux from fire***

*** some groups (INPE, King's College, e.g.) are working on getting remote sensing data to supply these information.



We did not talk about

- Dry and wet deposition
- Aerosols sedimentation
- Aerosols microphysics
- Chemical reactions (kinetic, photolysis)
- Volcanic, sea salt, dust emissions
- More lectures about these processes at http://meioambiente.cptec.inpe.br/



Evaluating Aerosols Impacts on Numerical Weather Prediction: a WGNE/WMO initiative

Saulo Freitas saulo.freitas@cptec.inpe.br

With inputs from: Mauricio Zarzur, Arlindo Silva, Angela Benedetti, Georg Grell, Oriol Jorba, Morad Mokhtari, Samuel Remy and WGNE Members Participants

1 Working Group on Numerical Experimentation (WGNE) https://www.wmo.int/pages/about/sec/rescrosscut/resdept_wgne.html



Goals of the Exercise

- This project aims to improve our understanding about the following questions:
- How important are aerosols for predicting the physical system (NWP, seasonal, climate) as distinct from predicting the aerosols themselves?
- How important is atmospheric model quality for air quality forecasting?
- What are the current capabilities of NWP models to simulate aerosol impacts on weather prediction?



Protocol: Experiments

Experiment	Direct Effect	Indirect Effect	No aerosol Interaction
1	Х		
2		Х	
3	Х	Х	
4			Х



Case Studies



1) Dust over Egypt: 4/2012

2) Urban Pollution in China: 1/2013

3) Smoke in Brazil: 9/2012



Participants (8 centers)

Participants	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Type of model	Complexity level	People Involved
CPTEC/Brazil			X	R	aerosol direct effect only	Saulo Freitas,Karla Longo, Mauricio Zarzur,
JMA/Japan	Х	Х	X	G	ind, dir, ind+dir, no-aer	Taichu Tanaka, Chiasi Muroi
ECMWF/Euro pe	X	X	X	G	(aerosol direct effect only	Angela Benedetti, Samuel Remy, Jean-Noel Thepaut
Météo- France/Met. Serv. Algeria	х			R	aerosol direct effect only	Morad Mokhtari, Bouyssel Francois
ESRL/NOAA/U SA		X	X	R	aerosol direct and indirect effect	Georg Grell
NASA/Goddar d/USA	X	X	X	G	direct effect only	Arlindo da Silva
NCEP/USA	X	Х	X	G	direct effect only	Sarah Lu, Yu-Tai Hou, S.Moorthi, and F. Yang
Barcelona Super. Ctr. Spain	X			R	aerosol direct effect only	Oriol Jorba Casellas



Case 3- Persistent Smoke in Brazil - SEP 2012

Forecasts

September 5-15, 2012 From 0 or 12 UTC 10 day forecasts Model configuration: same as for NWP Direct & Indirect effects

Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm (MYD08 D3.051) 0.75 0.70 0.65 0.60) 0.55 (unitless) N 0.50 M 0.45 0.40 0.35 Sep 08 Sep 14 Sep 15 Sep 07 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012 2012

(Region: 65W-46W, 20S-7S)

Aerosol Optical Depth 550 nm (MODIS) 11 SEP 2012



AOD at 550 nm

- Similar prognostic aerosol distribution and AOD field.
- Climatological aerosol provides a completely unrealistic AOD field.



Aerosol Optical Depth at 550nm

JMA (with interactive aerosols) Forecast: 15Z11SEP2012 Started: 00710SEP2012 Prognostic aerosol 15N -10N 5N ΕQ 55 10S 15S 20S 25S 30S JMA 35S 80W 75W 70W 65W 60W 55W 50W 45W 40W 35W 90W 85W

Aerosol Optical Depth at 550nm

Aerosol Optical Depth at 550nm NCEP (with interactive aerosols)

Forecast: 15Z11SEP2012 Started: 00Z10SEP2012



SW Radiative Flux (AER-NOAER)

ECMWF (DE - XA)

Shortwave Downwelling Radiative Flux at the Surface NASA (IA — XA)

FCT.: 15UTC11SEP Init.: 00UTC10SEP

- Direct effect can produce a reduction of up to ~ 200 W/m² when applying prognostic aerosols.
- The use of climatological aerosols implies on much lower impact.



"Yádw 85w 86w 75w 75w 76w 65w 66w 55w 56w 45w 45w 46w 35w 36w Shortwave Downwelling Radiative Flux at the Surface JMA (DE — XA)

> Forecast: 15Z11SEP2012 Started: 00Z10SEP2012



ולוא אלוא אלוא דלוא דלוא אלוע בליע בליע בליע אלוע אלע דליע



 40S sow 85% 80% 75% 70% 65% 60% 55% 50% 45% 40% 35% 30% Shortwave Downwelling Radiative Flux at the Surface NCEP (IA - XA)





-200-180-160-140-120-100-80-40-20 0 20 40 50 W/m^2

AER-NOAER : 2m Temperature

201

15N

10N

5N

EQ

5S

10S

15S

205

25S

30S

35S

FCT.: 15UTC11SEP Init.: 00UTC10SEP

- Direct effect can produce cooling of up to ~ 3.5 K when using prognostic aerosols
- Indirect effect can even produce larger reduction on T2m
- Use of climatological data implies in negligible impact.



⁴⁰S 90w 85w 80w 75w 70w 65w 60w 55w 50w 45w 40w 35w 30w

⁹⁰W 85W 80W 75W 70W 65W 60W 55W 50W 45W 40W 35W

RMSE: 2-m Temperature



BIAS: 2m Temperature



Consistent bias reduction with increasing aerosol treatment complexity during the day, with a slight increase* during the night. (*) Absolute value

Slight decrease of bias during 12-18 UTC

Analyzing the data with GrADS Online

Webpage hosted by CPTEC/Brazil for data analyzing and visualization

http://meioambiente.cptec.inpe.br/wgne-aerosols/



Developed by M. Zarzur
Thanks for your attention! Questions ?

Background slides

Composition of dry atmosphere

INIPA	





Gas	Volume			
Nitrogen (N ₂)	780,840 ppmv (78.084%)			
Oxygen (O ₂)	209,460 ppmv (20.946%)			
Argon (Ar)	9,340 ppmv (0.9340%)			
Carbon dioxide (CO ₂)	387 ppmv (0.0387%)			
<u>Neon</u> (Ne)	18.18 ppmv (0.001818%)			
Helium (He)	5.24 ppmv (0.000524%)			
Methane (CH ₄)	1.79 ppmv (0.000179%)			
Krypton (Kr)	1.14 ppmv (0.000114%)			
Hydrogen (H ₂)	0.55 ppmv (0.000055%)			
Nitrous oxide (N ₂ O)	0.3 ppmv (0.00003%)			
Xenon (Xe)	0.09 ppmv (9x10 ⁻⁶ %)			
Ozone (O ₃)	0.0 to 0.07 ppmv (0% to 7x10 ⁻⁶ %)			
Nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂)	0.02 ppmv (2x10 ⁻⁶ %)			
lodine (I)	0.01 ppmv (1x10 ⁻⁶ %)			
Carbon monoxide (CO)	0.1 ppmv			
Ammonia (NH ₃)	trace			
Not included in above dry atmosphere:				
	~0.40% over full			
Water vapor (H ₂ O)	atmosphere, typically 1%- 4% at surface			





Composition of Earth's atmosphere as at Dec. 1987. The lower pie represents the trace gases which together compose 0.038% of the atmosphere. Values normalized for illustration.

Mass continuity equation: Mathematically describes the dynamical and chemical processes that determine the distribution of chemical species.

transport sources / sinks / chemical forcing

 $\frac{\partial s_{[\eta]}}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla s_{[\eta]} = \frac{Q_{[\eta]}}{\rho} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{ds_{[\eta]}}{dt} = \frac{Q_{[\eta]}}{\rho_a}$

 $\frac{\partial \rho_{[\eta]}}{\partial t} + \underbrace{\nabla \cdot (\rho_{[\eta]} \vec{v})}_{\swarrow} = Q_{[\eta]}$

Flux form :

Advective form :

where,

- $ho_{[\eta]}$ is the mass (or number) density of species η
- ρ_a is the air mass (or number) density
- $$\begin{split} s_{[\eta]} &= \frac{\rho_{[\eta]}}{\rho_a} & \text{is the mass (or volume) mixing ratio} \\ Q_{[\eta]} & \text{is the source } (E) / \operatorname{sink} (R) \text{ and } / \text{ or} \\ & \text{chemical production } / \operatorname{loss} (P L) \text{ rate of species } \eta \\ \vec{v} & \text{is the wind velocity vector} \end{split}$$

One important propertie: if $Q_n = 0 \Rightarrow$ no forcing

 $\frac{ds_{\eta}}{dt} = 0 \Rightarrow s_{\eta} = cte :: \text{ following the air parcel (the Lagrangian point of view)}$

The Reynolds decomposition and averaging applied to the mass continuity equation of air: <u>the flux form (1)</u>



 $\phi = \overline{\phi} + \phi^{\prime\prime}$

CPEC



To solve numerically the mass continuity equation, it is convenient to perform the following decomposition:

 $\left\{ \overline{\phi} \right\}$ is the average of ϕ given by :

$$\overline{\phi} = \frac{1}{\Delta t \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z} \int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} \int_{x}^{x+\Delta x} \int_{y}^{y+\Delta y} \int_{z}^{z+\Delta z} \phi \, dz \, dy \, dx \, dt,$$

where $\begin{cases} \phi'' \text{ is the sub - grid scale perturbation,} \\ \Delta t \text{ is time interval of the average (or model timestep),} \\ \Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z \text{ are the space interval of the average} \end{cases}$

(or model grid intervals).

Some proporties of the Reynolds average:

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\phi''} = 0 \\ \overline{\overline{\phi}\phi''} = 0 \\ \overline{\overline{\phi}\phi''} = 0 \\ \overline{\overline{\phi}\phi} = \overline{\phi}.\overline{\phi} + \overline{\phi''\phi''}, \text{ and } \overline{\phi''\phi''} \text{ is not necessarily zero.} \\ \overline{\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\chi}} = \frac{\partial\overline{\phi}}{\partial\chi}, \text{ for } \chi \equiv x, y, z, t \end{cases}$$

From the air mass cont. equation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial (\rho u_i)}{\partial x_i}$$

 $u_i = \overline{u}_i + u'_i$

Applying the Reynolds decomposition : <

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial (\bar{\rho} + \rho'')}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial (\bar{\rho} + \rho'')(\bar{u}_i + u'_i)}{\partial x_i}$$











The Reynolds decomposition applied to the mass continuity equation of air: the flux form (2)

 \bar{Q}_{η}

chemistry)

(diffusion, convection. etc)

Performing the Reynolds average on the last equation : $\frac{\overline{\partial \overline{\rho}}}{\partial t} + \frac{\overline{\partial \rho''}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\overline{\partial \overline{\rho} \overline{u}_i}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\overline{\partial \rho'' u_i''}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\overline{\partial \rho'' \overline{u}_i}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\overline{\partial \overline{\rho} u_i''}}{\partial x_i}$ and, because $\overline{\phi''} \equiv 0$, $\frac{\partial \overline{\rho}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \overline{\rho''}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \overline{\rho} \overline{u}_i}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \overline{\rho} \overline{u}_i'}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \overline{\rho''} \overline{u}_i}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \overline{\rho} \overline{u''}_i}{\partial x_i}$ Mass continuity eq. for air after Reynolds decomposition : $\frac{\partial \overline{\rho}}{\partial t} = - \frac{\partial \rho u_i}{\partial x_i} -$... transport by the mean wind sub-grid scale transport by the unresolved flows local tendency (advection) (diffusion, convection, etc.) a) Deep continuity approximation : $\frac{\partial \rho_0 u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0$ Let $\overline{\rho} = \rho_0 + \rho' \Rightarrow \frac{\partial(\overline{\rho} - \rho')u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0$ Applying the Reynolds average: $\frac{\partial(\bar{\rho} - \rho')u_i}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial(\bar{\rho} - \rho')u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0$ $\frac{\partial \rho_0 u_i}{\partial x_i} \approx \frac{\partial \overline{\rho} \overline{u}_i}{\partial x_i} = 0$... b) Shallow continuity approximation: $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x} = 0$ $\therefore \quad \frac{\partial \overline{u}_i}{\partial x_i} = 0$ For a tracer η : $-rac{\partial \overline{
ho}_{\eta} \overline{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}$ the forcing (sources, local tendency transport by the mean sub-grid scale transport sinks, deposition, wind (advection) by the unresolved flows

The Reynolds decomposition applied to the mass continuity equation: the advective form

(4)

INPE





The mass continuity equation for a tracer
$$\eta$$
:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\rho}_{\eta}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \bar{\rho}_{\eta} \bar{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} - \frac{\partial \bar{\rho}_{\eta}'' \bar{u}_{i}''}{\partial x_{i}} + \bar{Q}_{\eta} \qquad (2)$$
Recall the mass mixing ratio definition: $s_{\eta} = \frac{\rho_{\eta}}{\rho}$
 $\bar{\rho}_{\eta} = \bar{s}_{\eta} \bar{\rho} = (\bar{s}_{\eta} + s_{\eta}'')(\bar{\rho} + \rho'') = \bar{\rho} \left(1 + \frac{\rho''}{/\bar{\rho}}\right)(\bar{s}_{\eta} + s_{\eta}'') \qquad (3)$
 $\therefore \bar{\rho}_{\eta} \approx \bar{\rho} \bar{s}_{\eta}$
 $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \bar{\rho} \bar{s}_{\eta}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \bar{\rho} \bar{s}_{\eta} \bar{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} - \frac{\partial \bar{\rho}_{\eta}'' \bar{u}_{i}'}{\partial x_{i}} + \bar{Q}_{\eta}$
or $\bar{\rho} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{s}_{\eta}}{\partial t} + \bar{u}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{s}_{\eta}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) = -\bar{s}_{\eta} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\rho}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \bar{\rho} \bar{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) - \frac{\partial \bar{\rho}_{\eta}'' \bar{u}_{i}''}{\partial x_{i}} + \bar{Q}_{\eta}$
How to determine $\frac{\partial \bar{\rho}_{\eta}'' \bar{u}_{i}''}{\partial x_{i}} = ?$
 $\rho_{\eta}'' = \rho_{\eta} - \bar{\rho}_{\eta} = \rho s_{\eta} - \bar{\rho} \bar{s}_{\eta} = (\bar{\rho} + \rho'')(\bar{s}_{\eta} + s_{\eta}') - \bar{\rho} \bar{s}_{\eta}$
 $\rho_{\eta}''' = \bar{\rho} \bar{s}_{\eta} + \rho'' \bar{s}_{\eta} + \rho'' s_{\eta}'' + \bar{\rho} s_{\eta}'' - \bar{\rho} \bar{s}_{\eta}$
Hence : $\rho_{\eta}'' u_{i}'' = (\rho'' \bar{s}_{\eta} + \bar{\rho} s_{\eta}'') u_{i}''$

(1)

The mass continuity equation for air :

 $\frac{\partial \overline{\rho}}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \overline{\rho} \overline{u}_i}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \rho'' u_i''}{\partial x_i}$

and $\rho_n'' u_i'' = (\rho'' \overline{s_n} + \overline{\rho} s_n'') u_i'' = \overline{s_n} \rho'' u_i'' + \overline{\rho} s_n'' u_i''$ (5) Using $(5) \Rightarrow (4)$. $\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}_{\eta}}{\partial t} + \overline{u}_{i}\frac{\partial \overline{s}_{\eta}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) = -\overline{s}_{\eta}\left(\frac{\partial \overline{\rho}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \overline{\rho}\overline{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) -\frac{\partial(\overline{s}_{\eta}\rho''u_{i}')}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial(\overline{\rho}s_{\eta}'u_{i}')}{\partial x_{i}}+\overline{Q}_{\eta} \quad (6)$ However, $\frac{\partial(\overline{s}_{\eta}\rho''u_i'')}{\partial x_i} = \overline{s}_{\eta} \frac{\partial \overline{\rho''u_i''}}{\partial x_i} + \overline{\rho''u_i''} \frac{\partial \overline{s}_{\eta}}{\partial x_i}$ Hence, from (6) $\overline{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}_{\eta}}{\partial t} + (\overline{u}_{i} + \frac{\rho'' u_{i}''}{\overline{\rho}})\frac{\partial \overline{s}_{\eta}}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}}\frac{\partial (\overline{\rho} s_{\eta}'' u_{i}')}{\partial x_{i}}\right) =$ $-\overline{s}_{\eta}\left(\frac{\partial\overline{\rho}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial\overline{\rho}\overline{u}_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{\partial\overline{\rho''u_{i}''}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) + \overline{Q}_{\eta} \quad (7)$ = 0 (see eq.(1)) We further assume that $\left| \frac{\rho'' \overline{u'_i}}{\overline{\Omega}} \ll |\overline{u_i}| \right|$. $\therefore \left| \frac{\partial \overline{s}_{\eta}}{\partial t} + \overline{u}_{i} \frac{\partial \overline{s}_{\eta}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}} \frac{\partial (\overline{\rho} s_{\eta}' u_{i}')}{\partial x} = \frac{Q_{\eta}}{\overline{\rho}} \right|$ In terms of the basic state reference density ρ_{0} $\bar{\rho} = \rho_0 + \rho' = \rho_0 (1 + \rho'/\rho_0) \approx \rho_0, \text{ since } (|\alpha'|/\alpha_0 \ll 1) \Rightarrow$ $\therefore \left| \frac{\partial \overline{s}_{\eta}}{\partial t} + \overline{u}_{i} \frac{\partial \overline{s}_{\eta}}{\partial x_{i}} + \frac{1}{\rho_{0}} \frac{\partial (\rho_{0} s_{\eta}' u_{i}')}{\partial x_{i}} - \frac{Q_{\eta}}{\rho_{0}} \right|$



Numerical solution of the mass continuity equation: using <u>splitting operator</u>

$$\left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{adv} + \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{turb}_{CLP} + \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{conv} + \dots + \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{chem}$$

- Current computational resources do not allow numerical solution of the continuity equation with all terms simultaneously (the transport term couples the 3 space dimensions with N species = Nx Ny Nz Nspecies $\sim 10^4 - 10^5$ equations)
- The splitting operator methodology is commonly used to solve each process independently and then couple the various changes resulting from the separate partial calculations (Yanenko 1971, Seinfeld and Pandis 1998, Lanser and Verwer 1998).
- The final solution can be achieved by the parallel or sequential (direct, symmetrical, weighted) techniques.

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{adv} = -\sum_{i} \overline{u}_{i} \frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial x_{i}} \\ \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{turb} = -\frac{1}{\rho_{0}} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial \rho_{0} \left(\overline{u'_{i}s'}\right)_{turb}}{\partial x_{i}} \\ \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{conv} = -\frac{1}{\rho_{0}} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial \rho_{0} \left(\overline{u'_{i}s'}\right)_{conv}}{\partial x_{i}} \\ \dots \\ \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{emissions} = E \\ \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{chem} = P - L \end{cases}$$



Parallel Splitting Operator



Parallel splitting (as used in RAMS/BRAMS):

Each partial and simpler problem is solved from the same initial condition at the beginning of the timestep:

Serial or Sequential Splitting Operator

Serial splitting:

Each partial and simpler problem is solved using as initial condition the solution just updated from the application of the previous partial operator.

 $\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t} = A + D + C + E + R + PL$ $\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial \overline{s}^{A}}{\partial t} + A = 0 \Big|_{\overline{s}(t)} \rightarrow \Delta s^{A} : \frac{change}{due \ adv.} \rightarrow \overline{s}^{A}(t + \Delta t) = \overline{s}(t) + \Delta s^{A} \rightarrow ds^{A} : \frac{\partial \overline{s}^{D}}{\partial t} + D = 0 \Big|_{\overline{s}^{A}(t + \Delta t)} \rightarrow \Delta s^{A+D} : \frac{change}{after \ applied \ adv.} \rightarrow \overline{s}^{A+D}(t + \Delta t) = \overline{s}^{A}(t + \Delta t) + \Delta s^{A+D} \rightarrow ds^{A+D} \rightarrow ds^{A+D} : \frac{\partial \overline{s}^{PL}}{\partial t} + PL = 0 \Big|_{\overline{s}^{A}+D+C+E+R}(t + \Delta t)} \rightarrow \Delta s^{A+D+C+E+R+PL} : \frac{change \ due \ chem. \ after}{applied \ all \ others \ processes} \rightarrow \overline{s}^{A+D+C+E+R+PL}(t + \Delta t) = \overline{s}^{A+D+C+E+R+PL}(t + \Delta t) = \overline{s}^{A+D+C+E+R+PL}$

The solution is the concentration after the last operato had been aplied $\overline{s}(t + \Delta t) \equiv \overline{s}^{A+D+C+E+R+PL}(t + \Delta t) = PL[R[E[C[D[A(\overline{s}(t))]]]]$

in this case the order in which the operators are applied is important.

Sub-grid scale transport processes k ≰ \mathbb{R} $\frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial t} + \overline{u} \frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial x} + \overline{v} \frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial y} + \overline{w} \frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\Gamma_0} \left(\frac{\mathbb{R} \Gamma_0 u' f'}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_0 v' f'}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_0 w' f'}{\partial z} \right) + \overline{Q_f}$ transport of scalar by the mean wind sub-grid transport by the un-resolved flow or grid-scale advection term (turbulence, cumulus convection, e.g.) $\left(\frac{\partial \overline{f}}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{convective}} = -\frac{1}{\Gamma_0} \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_0 u' f'}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_0 v' f'}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \Gamma_0 w' f'}{\partial z} \right)$ sub-grid scale transport $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{\text{convective}} \approx -\frac{1}{\Gamma_0} \frac{\partial \Gamma_0 w' f'}{\partial z}$ associated with the cumulus

w'f' is the eddy convective flux How to estimate ?

convection





Use Reynolds averaging again for cumulus elements and environment separately:





 \mathbb{E}

$$\overline{w'\phi'} = \overline{w\phi} - \overline{w}\overline{\phi} = \sigma(1-\sigma)(\overline{w}^c - \overline{w}^e)(\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi}^e)$$

T

 $w'\phi'$: the vertical eddy transport of ϕ per unit horizontal area and air density.



 \mathbb{F}

Τ

 \mathbb{V}







The Vertical Eddy Transport: the approximation of small area covered by cumulus

Vertical eddy transport:

$$\overline{w'\phi'} = \overline{w\phi} - \overline{w}\overline{\phi} = \sigma(1-\sigma)(\overline{w}^c - \overline{w}^e)(\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi}^e)$$

Assume the small area approximation:

$$\sigma \ll l \Rightarrow (l - \sigma) \approx l; \quad \overline{w}^c \gg \overline{w}^e$$

We also assume that : $\overline{\pmb{\phi}}^{\,e} \! pprox \! \overline{\pmb{\phi}}$

 \Rightarrow the environmental mean is approx. by the model mean.

 $\therefore \overline{w'\phi'} = \sigma \overline{w}^{c^*} (\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi})$

Define convective mass flux : $m_c = \overline{\rho}_{air} \sigma \overline{w}^{c^*}$

 $\therefore \overline{w'\phi'} = \frac{m_c(\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi})}{\overline{\rho}_{air}}$

 $\rightarrow \frac{\text{aproximation used by the most conven-}}{\text{tional mass-flux based parameterizations}}$

Sub-grid scale convective transport parameterization:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \overline{\phi}}{\partial t}\right)_{conv} = -\frac{1}{\overline{\rho}_{air}} \frac{\partial \left(\overline{\rho}_{air} \overline{w'\phi'}\right)}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\overline{\rho}_{air}} \frac{\partial \left[m_c(\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi})\right]}{\partial z}$$







The Formulation for the Vertical Eddy Transport: not assuming the small area approximation

The general expression for the vertical eddy transport is:

$$\overline{w'\phi'} = \overline{w\phi} - \overline{w}\overline{\phi} = \sigma(1-\sigma)(\overline{w}^c - \overline{w}^e)(\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi}^e)$$

As expected $\overline{w'\phi'} = 0$ for $\begin{cases} \sigma = 0, \text{ no clouds.} \\ \sigma = 1, \text{ clouds occupy the entire grid,} \\ => \text{ the transport is explicitly resolved.} \end{cases}$

Eliminating the environmental quantities (they are not well defined when $\sigma \sim 1$), the eddy transport can be expressed as

$$\overline{w'\phi'} = \overline{w\phi} - \overline{w}\overline{\phi} = \frac{\sigma}{(1-\sigma)}(\overline{w}^c - \overline{w})(\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi})$$

where σ and \overline{w}^c must be determined. It is required that the parameterization must converge to an explicit simulation of cloud processes as $\sigma \to 1$:

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\sigma \to 1} \overline{w}^{c} = \overline{w} \qquad (\overline{w}^{c} - \overline{w}) \sim (1 - \sigma) \text{ or higher}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\sigma \to 1} \overline{\phi}^{c} = \overline{\phi} \qquad (\overline{\phi}^{c} - \overline{\phi}) \sim (1 - \sigma) \text{ or higher}$$

$$\therefore (\overline{w}^{c} - \overline{w})(\overline{\phi}^{c} - \overline{\phi}) \sim (1 - \sigma)^{2} \text{ or higher when } \sigma \to 1$$

The Arakawa et al. (2011) choice is:

$$(\overline{w}^{c} - \overline{w})(\overline{\phi}^{c} - \overline{\phi}) = (1 - \sigma)^{2} \left[(\overline{w}^{c} - \overline{w})(\overline{\phi}^{c} - \overline{\phi}) \right]^{*}$$

where * denotes a limiting form expected when $\sigma \ll 1$. Using this choice:

$$\overline{w\phi} - \overline{w}\overline{\phi} = \sigma(1 - \sigma) \left[(\overline{w}^c - \overline{w})(\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi}) \right]^*$$

where σ and $\left[(\overline{w}^c - \overline{w})(\overline{\phi}^c - \overline{\phi})\right]^*$ must be determined to close the unified parameterization.



The mass flux approach: Extension to an ensemble of clouds with up/downdrafts



$$\left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{conv} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial(\rho_0 \overline{w's'})}{\partial z}$$

Ensemble of clouds with up/downdrafts

$$\overline{w's'} = \int_{\lambda} \left[s_u - \tilde{s} \right] \eta_u(\lambda, z) m_u(\lambda, z_{b,u}) d\lambda - \int_{\lambda} \left[s_d - \tilde{s} \right] \eta_d(\lambda, z) m_d(\lambda, z_{b,d}) d\lambda$$

u, d: updraft / downdraft flows m, η : mass flux where the flows originate / normalized mass flux profile $s_{u/d}$: in cloud value of the scalar \tilde{s} : environment value of the scalar \overline{s} : the model value

: represents the integral over all clouds present in the model grid box

2D spectral model: Deep and shallow (non-precipitating) cumulus Defining $\mathcal{E} = \frac{m_u(z_b)}{m_d(z_d)}$ 1) Case of deep/precipitating convection: $\overline{w's'}(z) = \eta_u \left[s_u - \tilde{s} \right] m_u(z_b) - \eta_d \left[s_d - \tilde{s} \right] m_d(z_d)$ and from the mass conservation : updraft downdraft $m_{\mu} = m_{d} + M,$ where $\eta_{u,d} = \frac{m_{u,d}(z)}{m_u(z_{h,d})}$, the normalized mass flux. the un-resolved flux can be described as: $\frac{w's'}{m_u(z_b)} = \eta_u s_u - \varepsilon \eta_d s_d - \tilde{\eta}\tilde{s}$ deep convection 12km \boldsymbol{z} Injects polluted air into the upper ŝ troposphere updraft subsidence Free 4 km troposphere downdraft updraft PBL downdraft Injects clean $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}$ air into the PBL

The CO₂ profile: diurnal variation and the rectifier effect

The CO₂ profile: diurnal variation and <u>the rectifier effect</u>

The CO₂ profile: diurnal variation and <u>the rectifier effect</u>

Sequence of pictures, showing the passage of squall-line from de coast to Rondonia From 0345 UTC Feb 17 to 0345 UTC 18 Feb

Mass Conservation Equation: an example of the vertical variation

(detrainment - entrainment)

Conservation equation for a scalar: provides the scalar inside cloud

For conserved quantities : $S_u = 0$ $\Rightarrow \frac{|a_u|}{|z|} = m_u (\tilde{a} - a_u)$ From the boundary condition for a_u at cloud base : $a_u(z_b) = \tilde{a}(z_b)$ And for especified $m_u = m_u(z)$ and $\tilde{a}(z) \circ \bar{a}(z)$, the equation can be integrated and a_u can be determined.

Parameterized Deep Convective Transport

From :
$$\frac{1}{m_{u}(z_{s})} \left(\frac{\partial \overline{w's'}}{\partial z} \right)_{deep} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(h_{u}s_{u} - eh_{d}s_{d} - h\bar{s} \right)$$
we can write
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(h_{u}s_{u} - eh_{d}s_{d} - h\bar{s} \right) = \frac{\partial h_{u}s_{u}}{\partial z} - e \frac{\partial h_{u}s_{d}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial h\bar{s}}{\partial z}$$
However using the mass conservation equation:
$$\frac{\partial h_{u}s_{u}}{\partial z} = h_{u}\frac{\partial s_{u}}{\partial z} + s_{u}\frac{\partial h_{u}}{\partial z} = h_{u}\frac{\partial s_{u}}{\partial z} + s_{u}(m_{u} - d_{u})h_{u}$$
we also have:
$$\frac{Mh\bar{s}}{\|z} = h_{u}m_{u}\bar{s} - b_{u}m_{u}\bar{s} - b_{u}\bar{s} - b_{u}\bar$$

Parameterized deep convective transport

Arakawa&Schubert, Grell, stability (like Kain&Fritsch), Brown).

Parameterized Wet Convective Removal

gasosa

Equilibrium between aqueous and gas phases (Henry's law)

 $\Gamma_{fase}_{aquosa} = k_H r_{lw} \Gamma_{fase}_{gasosa}$

solubility:

$$k_H(T) = RTk_H^q e^{\left[-\frac{\mathsf{D}_{sol}H}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T}-\frac{1}{T^q}\right)\right]}, \quad \left\{$$

 $T^{q} = 298.15 \text{K}$ $D_{sol}H$: enthalpy change k_{H}^{q} : equilibrium constant at T^{q}

wet removal tendency (sink term W):

total mass deposited on surface:

$$m_{sfc} = \int_{sfc}^{cloud} \left(\frac{\partial \overline{s}}{\partial t}\right)_{wet} \Gamma_{air} dz$$

 $prec: \frac{\text{convective precip rate}}{(\text{from cumulus parameterization})}$ $= -\frac{k_{H}r_{lw}\hat{s}_{fase}}{Dz} Prec \begin{cases} rrec \\ r_{lw} : cloud liquid water/ice mixing ratio (from CP) \end{cases}$ \hat{s}_{fase} : in-cloud gas phase mixing ratio

Backup slides

 Global mapping of maximum emission heights and resulting vertical profiles of wildfire emissions (Sofiev et al., 2013)

CPEC

2.1 Calculation of the top height of fire emission plumes

Calculation of characteristic injection profile is based on a recently suggested semi-empirical formula for the fire-plume top height (Sofiev et al., 2012). According to this methodology, the plume top H_p depends on the fire radiative power FRP, ABL height H_{abl} , and Brunt-Väisälä frequency in the free troposphere $N_{\rm FT}$:

$$H_{\rm p} = \alpha H_{\rm abl} + \beta \left(\frac{\rm FRP}{P_{f0}}\right)^{\gamma} \exp(-\delta N_{\rm FT}^2 / N_0^2). \tag{1}$$

The values for coefficients α , β , γ , and δ , and normalising constants P_{f0} and N_0 are: $\alpha = 0.24$; $\beta = 170$ m; $\gamma = 0.35$; $\delta = 0.6$, $P_{f0} = 10^6$ W, and $N_0^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-2}$. These coefficients have been obtained from calibration of the formula (1) using MISR fire plume observations. As dis-

Height of 90% mass injection, night,August mean 2000-2012.[m]

Fig. 4. Injection height for 90% of mass for night (left) and day (right) for February (top) and August (bottom). Unit = [m].

Including emission in the model

3-D Instantaneous emission rate:

$$E_{\eta}(t) = \frac{r(t)}{E_{\eta}}$$

Plume-rise of vegetation fires: typical energy fluxes (kWm⁻²)

	Biome type	Lower bound kWm ⁻²	Upper bound kWm ⁻²	Flaming consumption
	Tropical forest	30.	80.	45%
/	Woody savanna - cerrado	4.4	23.	75%
	Pasture - grassland cropland	3.3		97%

Refs: Carvalho et al, 1995-2001-2005 (com. pessoal);

Riggan et al, 2004;

Ward et al, 2002;

Ferguson et al, 1998;

Cochrane et al; 200X-com. pessoal;

Miranda et al, 1993.