

Use of hyperspectral infra-red observations in reanalyses

PAUL POLI

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS TO

NASA NSSDC FOR RESCUING TAPES FROM NIMBUS-4 IRIS

PASCAL BRUNEL FOR COMPUTING NEW RTTOV COEFFICIENTS FOR AN OLD INSTRUMENT

HANS HERSBACH FOR HIS ALL-PURPOSE VISUALIZATION TOOL & INTERPOLATION PROGRAM

<u>QIFENG LU</u> FOR A VISIT IN JANUARY 2013 DURING WHICH WE COLLABORATED TO IMPLEMENT

A FAST SATELLITE SIMULATOR, A MODIFIED VERSION OF WHICH I USED IN THIS TALK

ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop



Outline

- **1.** Global atmospheric reanalyses
- 2. Use and impact of sounder data in reanalyses
- **3.** The special case of hyperspectral infrared data
- 4. Historical hyperspectral infrared observations
- **5.** Conclusions

ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop



The three pillars of Geosciences



Dealing with an uncertain past

Traditional reanalysis approach reconstructs the weather history in a forward-propagating way

10 members



ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop



Variational data assimilation, as used by NWP & Reanalysis

For each analysis, define a cost function & find its minimum: $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) =$

$$(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{x})^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{x}^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{x}) +$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta})^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\beta}^{-1} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\beta}_{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) +$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{0} - h(M(\mathbf{x})) - b(M(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\beta}) \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \\ \mathbf{y}^{0} - h(M(\mathbf{x})) - b(M(\mathbf{x}), \boldsymbol{\beta}) \end{bmatrix}$$

This produces the "most probable" atmospheric state *

* In a maximum-likelihood sense, which is equivalent to the minimum variance, provided that **background and observation errors are Gaussian**, **unbiased**, **uncorrelated with each other**; all error covariances are correctly specified; model errors are negligible within the analysis window <u>Constraints: must fit:</u> State prior estimates Bias correction prior estimates Observations

<u>Statistical models of uncertainties:</u> <u>Error covariances of:</u>

R = observation

5

 \mathbf{R}^{-1}

- B_{β} = observation bias prior estimate
- B'_{x} = state prior estimate

<u>Models of the physics of the</u> <u>atmospheric and instruments:</u>

- M = simulates state evolution
- h = simulates observations
- b = simulates observation biases



ECMWF atmospheric reanalyses



ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop

1900-2008 temperature trends from reanalyses



Paul Berrisford



ERA-20C

ECMWF

Outline

- **1.** Global atmospheric reanalyses
- **2.** Use and impact of sounder data in reanalyses
- **3.** The special case of hyperspectral infrared data
- 4. Historical hyperspectral infrared observations
- **5.** Conclusions

ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop



Reanalyses use the widest variety of observations



Satellite data input to atmospheric reanalysis

Number of satellite data used, 1 deg x 1 deg 12-hour count

1 Dec 1978, 00UTC



Number of satellite sensors/ AMV retrieval types

1 Dec 2011, 00UTC



Number of observations every 12 hours



Impact of a 4-channel microwave sounder NOAA-14 MSU



ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop

*

ECMWF



33 years of passive microwave vertical sounding

Stdev(O-B), without bias correction (in K)



Impact of improving the radiative transfer model



- 2. CO₂ profile now used as predictor
- 3. SSU cell pressure better characterized

14

MWF

Full assimilation run, 1 Feb 1981 – 17 Mar 1981, ECMWF IFS CY36R4, NWP-SAF RTTOV-10

ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop

39 years of observations ~747 cm⁻¹ compared with ERA-40 and ERA-Interim



Outline

ECMWF

16

- **1.** Global atmospheric reanalyses
- 2. Use and impact of sounder data in reanalyses
- **3.** The special case of hyperspectral infrared data
- 4. Historical hyperspectral infrared observations
- **5.** Conclusions

ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop

Hyperspectral infrared assimilation in modern reanalyses

Percentage of channels assimilated

17

| Satellite Instrument | Time period | NCEP CFSR | NASA MERRA | JMA JRA-25 | JMA JRA-55 | ECMWF ERA-Interim | MACC |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| EOS-Aqua AIRS | 2002- present | 5% (121/2378) | 5% (121/2378) | No | No | 6% (156/2378) | 8% (185/2378) |
| MetOp-A IASI | 2006- present | 2% (165/8461) | No | No | No | No | 2% (175/8461) |
| MetOp-B IASI | 2012- present | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Suomi NPP CrIS | 2011- present | No | No | No | No | No | No |

ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop

CECMWF

ERA-Interim use of AIRS: as in ECMWF OPS in 2006



Tropospheric AIRS monthly time-series



Outline

- **1.** Global atmospheric reanalyses
- 2. Use and impact of sounder data in reanalyses
- **3.** The special case of hyperspectral infrared data
- 4. Historical hyperspectral infrared observations
- **5.** Conclusions

ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop



Satellite data since the 1960s





More details about these early instruments, though without the present visuals, can be found in the ERA-CLIM deliverable "Satellite Datasets for use in ERACLIM" by Roger Saunders, Paul Poli, Viju John and Graeme Kelly (2011)



ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop

Historical interferometers in space

| Instrument | Satellite & Agency | Time period | Viewing geometry | Resolution (cm ⁻¹) | Spectral domain (cm ⁻¹) |
|------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| IRIS-B | Nimbus-3 NASA | April 1969— July 1969 | Nadir (no cross- scanning) | 5.000 | 500—2000 |
| IRIS-D | Nimbus-4 NASA | April 1970— January 1971 | Nadir (no cross- scanning) | 2.800 | 400—1600 |
| IMG | ADEOS-1 JAXA | August 1996— June 1997 | Nadir (no cross- scanning) | 0.100 | 700—2000, 2000—2500, 2500—3000 |
| MIPAS | Envisat ESA | July 2002— April 2012 | Limb | 0.035 | 685—970 |
| ACE-FTS | Scisat-1 CSA | August 2003— present (as of Oct. 2013) | Limb | 0.020 | 750—4400 |

Versions flew later on planetary explorers: Mariner-9, Voyager-1, Voyager-2

ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop

22

CMWF

Nimbus-4 IRIS: 862 channels







Figure 1. The infrared interferometer (IRIS-D) on Nimbus 4 consists of an optical module, shown enclosed by a thermal shroud in the center of the figure, and of two modules which contain electronic circuitry. The optical module is mounted below the Nimbus sensory ring (not shown), so that the port visible on top of the shroud views earth. The electronic modules fit into compartments within the sensory ring. The maximum dimension of the shroud across the exposed opening is 44 cm.

- Plenty of imaged documents on the web, even some fairly recent papers
- Unfortunately the experimenters are not necessarily longer available to take questions
- Data were rescued from ageing tapes recently by NASA, and are now available on the NSSDC website http://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov

23

ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop

CECMWF

First step: Read the data

- Awkward / ancient file formats.
 Nearly makes you wish for BUFR, though note quite.
- Read the data with some Fortran
- Convert to brightness temperatures & usual date format
- Import into ECMWF ODB-2 format the following information: date, time, lat, lon, orbit no., profile no., channel no., wavenumber, radiance, b.t.



Second step: Visualize the observations

All spectra found in the radiance files, 9-30 April 1970



From documentation: calibration spectra were manually removed to create this radiance dataset – some actual good spectra were wrongly removed? \rightarrow Will need to go back to the actual raw data, also thankfully recovered.

ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop

Third step: Compare with model calculations



Differences IRIS minus ERA-40, for all channels>645 cm⁻¹



Number of collocations: 32878263 Y-X Mean, stdv: -1125.250 273.660 X Mean, stdv: 1117.897 273.716 Y Mean, stdv: -7.353 11.887

ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop



Zoom on upper-peaking channels in 15 μ m CO2 band

9-30 April 1970



Same as earlier but with 3-hourly ERA-20C fields



9-30





Number of collocations: 32878263 Y-X Mean, stdv: -1126.225 273.376 Mean, stdv: 1117.897 273.716 х Mean, stdv: -8.328 11.604 Y

29

Clearly larger departures than **ERA-40**. Not surprising: ERA-20C did not assimilate any upper-air observations

ECMWF

With RTTOV coefficients computed especially for IRIS





ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop



Zoom on the 645-700 cm⁻¹ band



ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop

Impact of CO₂ 325 ppmv in 1970 \rightarrow 384 ppmv in 1983



ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop

ECMWF

Outline

- **1.** Global atmospheric reanalyses
- 2. Use and impact of sounder data in reanalyses
- **3.** The special case of hyperspectral infrared data
- 4. Historical hyperspectral infrared observations
- **5.** Conclusions

ECMWF / EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop



Conclusions 1/2

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Last page of NASA TM on Nimbus-4 IRIS The authors are grateful to C. Kruger and M. Rhodes of Texas Instruments for their invaluable assistance in the development of the IRIS-D instrument and to G. Wolfard of GSFC for his efforts in the area of data reduction. Mr. Milton Sing, GSFC, helped in the preparations of the figures.

- Data reduction already an important topic in 1970.
- Reanalyses, lagging behind state-of-the-art NWP in terms of current satellite data usage, use at most
 - 1 or 2, of the current 4 hyperspectral infrared sounders
 - fewer than 10% of the channels
- The 'relatively new' sensors, and the large amount of data probably explain why hyperspectral data haven't been used more in current reanalyses.

Conclusions 2/2

- Based on earlier examples, with much poorer sounders, we found that the corresponding data still managed to make a huge impact on today's weather and climate reconstruction
- The promise is much greater with hyperspectral infrared, probing details of spectral regions otherwise unmonitored on a systematic basis, which could in the future tell us about unforeseen changes in constituents and aerosols
- Overall the potential for climate use hasn't been realized yet
- Reanalysis can help, making comparisons between observations and model accessible

 All original raw data must be preserved, along with meta-data for future users to understand data quality, calibration, and its time evolution
 ECMWF/EUMETSAT NWP-SAF Hyperspectral Infrared Workshop