





### Mass-conserving and positive-definite semi-Lagrangian advection in NCEP GFS: Decomposition for massively parallel computing without halo

Hann-Ming Henry Juang Environmental Modeling Center, NCEP NWS,NOAA,DOC,USA

November 4, 2008

### Introduction

- The common elements for traditional semi-Lagrangian method are
  - Iteration to find departure and/or mid-point values
  - Interpolation from regular grid points to departure and/or mid-points
  - Require halo grids in MPP
- Advantage of semi-Lagrangian Method
  - Allowable larger time step, saving time
  - Use linear grid in spectral model; same grid-point with higher resolution of spectral truncation



#### Starting from mid-point



No guessing and no iteration

but one 2-D interpolation and one 2-D remapping

November 4, 2008

## **Proposed Method**

- Splitting semi-Lagrangian advection
  - advection in one direction first
  - then advection in another direction
  - temporal and spatial splitting
- Advantage
  - no guessing and no iteration
  - 1-D interpolation and remapping
  - possible no halo (with transpose)
  - incremental implementation
- Possible to add mass conservation and positive definite advection











GrADS: COLA/IGES

2007-04-11-15:38

November 4, 2008

### 13th on the use of hpc in meteorology

10

#### Gaussian 256 x 128 with time step of 1800 sec Across north pole



November 4, 2008

### 13th on the use of hpc in meteorology

2007-04-11-15:28

#### Gaussian 256 x 128 with time step of 1800 sec Across south pole



GrADS: COLA/IGES

2007-04-11-15:33

November 4, 2008

#### at north pole

3day(color) analytical(black) 256x128 1800 sec





November 4, 2008

#### at south pole

9day(color) analytical(black) 256x128 1800 sec



#### one complete revolution



November 4, 2008

$$Min[A] = global\_min\_of\_A$$

### **Compare Errors**

	min	max	L <sub>1</sub>	L <sub>2</sub>	$L_\infty$
FFSL-5 128x64	-1.3E-3	-0.053	0.047	0.041	0.053
FFSL-3 256x128	-5.82E-4	0.040	0.020	0.020	0.040
NISL 128x64	-2.26E-4	-0.017	0.037	0.050	0.052
NISL 256x128	-7.67E-5	-0.0021	0.018	0.013	0.014
<b>NISL</b> 512x256	-2.72E-5	-0.00026	0.0053	0.0046	0.0070

13th on the use of hpc in

For mass conservation, let's start from continuity equation



Consider 1-D and rewrite it in advection form, we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}\right)_{(X-direction)} + u\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial x} = -\rho\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$$

Advection form is for semi-Lagrangian,

but it is not conserved if divergence is treated as force at mid-point, So divergence term should be treated with advection Divergence term in Lagrangian sense is the change of the volume if mass is conserved, so we can write divergence form as

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)_{Lagrangian\_sense} = \frac{1}{\Delta_x} \frac{d\Delta_x}{dt}$$

Put it into the previous continuity equation, we have



How about mass conservation for tracer ?

If we use tracer and continuity equation as following together

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} + \zeta \frac{\partial q}{\partial \zeta} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial p u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial p \zeta}{\partial \zeta} = 0$$

Then density weighted tracer can be treated as conservation as

$$\frac{\partial \rho q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho q u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho q v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \rho q \zeta}{\partial \zeta} = 0$$

•

Combine it with continuity equation, we can have conserved tracer advection

$$\frac{d\rho q\Delta}{dt} = 0 \qquad \qquad \frac{d\rho\Delta}{dt} = 0$$

We do

$$\begin{split} X_L^D &= X_L^M - U_L^M \Delta t & X_L^A = X_L^M + U_L^M \Delta t \\ X_R^D &= X_R^M - U_R^M \Delta t & X_R^A = X_R^M + U_R^M \Delta t \\ \Delta_D &= X_R^D - X_L^D & \Delta_A = X_R^A - X_L^A \end{split}$$



13th on the use of hpc in meteorology

22



The given value can be presented piece-wisely by

$$\rho = S(x)$$

so the previous mass equality can be replaced as following

$$\int_{D_L}^{D_R} S_D^{n-1}(x) dx = \int_{A_L}^{A_R} S_A^{n+1}(x) dx$$

Also we want to make sure that total mass is conserved as

$$\oint S_R^{n-1}(x) dx = \oint S_D^{n-1}(x) dx = \oint S_R^{n+1}(x) dx = \oint S_R^{n+1}(x) dx$$

where subscript R is regular grid D is departure grid A is arrival grid for

This implies that mass conservation should be used during interpolation from regular cell to departure cell and from arrival cell to regular cell. thus, we apply **monotonic PPM** for S(x).



 $\frac{(total mass) - (initial total mass)}{(initial total mass)} \approx 10^{-15}$ 

November 4, 2008

13th on the use of hpc in meteorology

25

## Isochronal flow

- Any given point will return to its original location after a given period of time.
- Gaussian grid dimensioned 512 x 256.
- Rotate coordinates so "equator" goes through (39N,77W), thus giving flow over real poles.
- Apply non-divergent global wave number 4-20 perturbation displacement (of standard deviation size 0.1-0.2 non-dimensional vorticity) using a random number generator.
- Set return period to 10 days.



November 4, 2008



arbitrary tracer at initial condition 512x256

November 4, 2008



arbitrary tracer after 3 days 512x256

November 4, 2008



arbitrary tracer after 6 days 512x256

November 4, 2008



arbitary tracer after 10 days 512x256 dt=900s

November 4, 2008

# Decomposition in NCEP GFS

- Current NCEP GFS uses 1D decomposition with MPI and thread with OpenMP.
- Transpose with MPI\_AIIToAIIv is used to move between two sub-domains for spectral transform.
- First sub-domain has some given latitudes with all longitudes grids, which is for FFT in longitudinal direction.
- Second sub-domain has some given zonal wave numbers with all meridian wave numbers, which is for Legendre transform in meridian direction.
- Transpose between two sub-domains, thus there is no halo required.

# Implement into NCEP GFS

- The same first sub-domain is used to compute semi-Lagrangian advection in any given latitudinal global circle. All departure and arrival points are in the same circle, so no halo is needed.
- Then transpose first sub-domain to another grid-point sub-domain, which has all Gaussian latitude points but some longitude points. Therefore semi-Lagrangian advection can be computed in any given longitude with all latitude points, so, again, no halo is required.
- The PPM mass conserving between reduced grid and full grid in any given latitude is also applied.





2D

## Case test in NCEP GFS

- Arbitrary date is selected.
- Modify NCEP GFS IO into grid-point data.
- Negative tracers are replaced with zero value at the initial time.
- T126 L64 resolution is tested.
- Model physics is included.
- Two runs are compared;
  - control: Spectral advection in horizontal, finite difference in vertical asoperational GFS.
  - nislfv: Non-iteration mass conserving positive definite semi-Lagrangian on tracers.





SPFH(g/kg) model layer 40 hour 06 control run



5

control

06h fcst specific humidity at model layer 40

nislfv

SPFH(g/kg) model layer 40 hour 12 control run



SPFH(g/kg) model layer 40 hour 12 with nislfv



control

12h fcst specific humidity at model layer 40

nislfv

SPFH(g/kg) model layer 40 hour 24 control run



SPFH(g/kg) model layer 40 hour 24 with nislfv



-0.1-0.0-10.0-00.0-001e-05e-05e-06e-050000.000.0002.0040.0050.0080.01 0.1

5

control

24h fcst specific humidity at model layer 40

nislfv

SPFH(g/kg) model layer 40 hour 72 control run



-0.1-0.0-10.0-00.0-001e-05e-05e-06e-050000.000.0002.0040.0050.0080.01 0.1

5

control

# 72h fcst specific humidity at model layer 40

nislfv

CLW(g/kg) model layer 35 hour 06 control run The

120W

60W



120E

6ÔE

#### control

### 6hr fcst cloud water at model layer 35

60S

nislfv

November 4, 2008



180



CLW(g/kg) model layer 30 hour 12 with nislfv



control

#### 12hr fcst cloud water at model layer 30

nislfv

CLW(g/kg) model layer 20 hour 24 control run



CLW(g/kg) model layer 20 hour 24 with nislfv



control

### 24hr fcst cloud water at model layer 30

nislfv

CLW(g/kg) model layer 5 hour 72 control run



control

# 72hr fcst cloud water at model layer 5

nislfv

60S

1

November 4, 2008

180

120E

6ÔE

120W

6ÓW

Precip(in/day) hour 06 with control 60N control 30N EQ 30S 60S 6hr fcst precipitation 60E 120E 120W 6ÓW 180 Precip(in/day) hour 06 with nislfv 601 nislfv 30N EQ 30S 60S 1 120W 6ÓW 120E 180

6ÓE

0.01 0.1 0.25 0.5 0.75 2.5 3 4 5 6 7 8 1.25 1.5 1.75 2

9

Precip(in/day) hour 24 with control



control

#### 24hr fcst precipitation

nislfv

November 4, 2008

0.01 0.1 0.25 0.5 0.75 1 1.25 1.5 1.75 2 2.5 3 4 5 6 7 8 9



control

72hr fcst precipitation

nislfv

November 4, 2008

0.01 0.1 0.25 0.5 0.75 1 1.25 1.5 1.75 2 2.5 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

## **Conclusion & Future Work**

- Modified traditional semi-Lagrangian without iteration to locate mid-/departure-points, but require interpolation and remapping with temporal and spatial split computation.
- Mass conserving is included with consideration of semi-Lagrangian for divergence.
- Positive definite is applied with monotone piecewise parabolic method (PPM) for interpolation/remapping.
- Due to spatial split, no halo is required since all required data for computation are all in the partial domain through transpose. Since no halo, there is no extra memory request, but it may have more data in communication than the method with halo and small number of cpu.
- Implement all prognostic variable, not only tracers, to have larger model time step to save integration cost.