Five years of limited-area ensemble activities

at ARPA-SIM:

the COSMO-LEPS system

Andrea Montani,

Chiara Marsigli and Tiziana Paccagnella

ARPA-SIM

Hydrometeorological service of Emilia-Romagna, Italy

11th Workshop on Meteorological Operational Systems Reading, 12-16 November 2007



Outline

- Introduction
- Methodology of COSMO-LEPS
- Verification results:
 - SYNOP on the GTS
 - high-resolution network
- Future plans



COSMO-LEPS (developed at ARPA-SIM)

• What is it?

- It is a Limited-area Ensemble Prediction System (LEPS), based on COSMO-model and implemented within COSMO (COnsortium for Small-scale MOdelling, which includes Germany, Greece, Italy, Poland, Romania, Switzerland).
- Why?
- It was developed to combine the advantages of global-model ensembles with the high-resolution details gained by the LAMs, so as to identify the possible occurrence of **severe** and **localised** weather events (heavy rainfall, strong winds, temperature anomalies, snowfall, ...)

generation of COSMO-LEPS to improve the Late-Short (48hr) to Early-Medium (132hr) range forecast of severe weather events.





The COSMO-LEPS suite @ ECMWF November 2002 – May 2004



The COSMO-LEPS suite @ ECMWF June 2004 – January 2006



COSMO-LEPS suite @ ECMWF since February 2006



Present methodology

11th MOS - Reading – 12-16 November 2007

Operational set-up

Core products:

→ 16 perturbed COSMO-model runs (ICs and 3-hourly BCs from 16 EPS members) to generate, "via weights", probabilistic output (start at 12UTC; △t = 132h);

Additional products:

- →1 deterministic run (ICs and 3-hourly BCs from the highresolution deterministic ECMWF forecast) to "join" deterministic and probabilistic approaches (start at 12UTC; △t = 132h);
- → 1 "hindcast" run (ICs and 3-hourly BCs from ECMWF analyses) to "downscale" ECMWF information (start at 00UTC; △t = 36h).

Dissemination

- probabilistic products
- deterministic products (individual COSMO-LEPS runs)
- derived probability products (EM, ES)
- meteograms over station points

Objective verification of COSMO-LEPS

- > SYNOP on the GTS (COSMO-LEPS only);
- high-res network (COSMO-LEPS vs ECMWF EPS).

Verification package includes the traditional probabilistic scores:

Brier Skill Score (Wilks, 1995)
ROC area (Mason and Graham, 1999)
Cost-loss Curve (Richardson, 2000)
Percentage of Outliers (Buizza, 1997)
Ranked Probability Skill Scores (Wilks, 1995)
Rank histograms (Wilks, 1995)

Objective verification of COSMO-LEPS

> SYNOP on the GTS (COSMO-LEPS only);

Main features:

variable:	12h cumulated precip (18-06, 06-18 UTC);
period:	from Dec 2002 to Sep 2007;
region:	43-50N, 2-18E (MAP D-PHASE area);
method:	nearest grid point; no-weighted fcst;
obs:	synop reports (about 470 stations/day);
fcst ranges:	6-18h, 18-30h,, 102-114h, 114-126h;
thresholds:	1, 5, 10, 15, <i>25, 50</i> mm/12h;
system:	COSMO-LEPS;

both (58) monthly and (19) seasonal scores are computed.

work is in progress for verification over the full domain (1500 stations)

Time series of Brier Skill Score

- > BSS is written as 1-BS/BS_{ref}. **Sample climate** is the reference system. Useful forecast systems if BSS > 0.
- > BS measures the mean squared difference between forecast and observation in probability space.
- > Equivalent to MSE for deterministic forecast.

Time series of ROC area

> Area under the curve in the HIT rate vs FAR diagram.

> Valuable forecast systems have ROC area values > 0.6.

Outliers: time series + seasonal scores

- > How many times the analysis is out of the forecast interval spanned by the ensemble members.
- \succ ... the lower the better ...
- Performance of the system assessed as time series and for 5 different Summers (JJA). 3M running mean; 12-h cumulated precipitation

OUTL

- Evident seasonal cycle (more outliers in winter), but overall reduction of outliers in the years.
- Reduction of outliers from one Summer to the other, related to the increase of ensemble size (more evident for the 5 to 10 increase).
- Need to take into account the different statistics for each season (JJA 2003 less rainy than the others).

Objective verification of COSMO-LEPS

> high-res network (COSMO-LEPS vs ECMWF EPS).

Main features:

500 variable: 24h cumulated precip (06-06 UTC); period: MAM 2006; 400 region: Switzerland, Italy (North of 43N); method: BOXES (1.0×1.0) ; 300 COSMO network (1400 stations x day); obs: 200 fcst ranges: 18-42h, 42-66h, 66-90h, 90-114h; thresholds: 1, 5, 10, 20, 30 mm/24h; 150 systems: - COSMO-LEPS (16m, 10 km, 40 ML) 100 - reduced EPS (16m, 50 km, 60 ML) 10 - full EPS (51m, 50 km, 60 ML)

Verification of the distributions

The verification has been made in terms of:

- Average value
- Maximum value
- 50th percentile (Median)
- 75^{th,} 90th, 95th percentiles

Station observation
Grid point forecast

in a box

two measures of precipitation:

- the cumulative volume of water deployed over a specific region;
- the rainfall peaks occurring within the same region.

MAM06 Average values (boxes 1.0 x 1.0)

MAM06 Average values (boxes 1.0 x 1.0) 0.35 0.3 0.25 0.2 0.15 0.1 - 18-42 42-66 66-90 90-114 0.05 0 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 2 3 6 0 45 1 classes **COSMO-LEPS** 0.35 0.3 16-MEMBER EPS 0.25 0.2 0.15 0.1 18-42 42-66 66-90 90-114 0.05 0 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 0 1 23 45 2 classes stem: 5-year milestone SIT

11th MOS - Reading - 12-16 November 2007

11th MOS - Reading - 12-16 November 2007

11th MOS - Reading – 12-16 November 2007

Scale-intensity (max)

MAM06

Main results

- Since November 2002, COSMO-LEPS system has been running on a daily basis.
- Since December 2005, COSMO-LEPS is a "member-state time-critical application".
- COSMO-LEPS products are routinely used in met-ops rooms across COSMO community, in EC Projects (e.g. Windstorms PREVIEW) as well as in field campaigns of meteorological experiments (e.g. COPS, MAP D-PHASE).

Time-series verification scores cannot disentangle improvements related to COSMO-LEPS upgrades from those due to better EPS boundaries.

- Nevertheless, positive trends can be identified:
 - ➢ increase in ROC area scores and reduction in outliers percentages;
 - > positive impact of increasing the population from 5 to 10 members (June 2004);
 - although some deficiency in the skill of the system were identified after the system upgrades occurred on February 2006 (from 10 to 16 members; from 32 to 40 model levels + EPS upgrade), scores are encouraging throughout 2007.
- High-res verification shows better scores of COSMO-LEPS with respect to EPS in forecasting precipitation maxima within boxes.

Future plans

- Verification vs synop:
 - assess performance over the full domain,
 - consider other variables (2m temperature, 10m wind speed, 10m wind gust).
- Implement "weighted" derived probability products:
 - weighted ensemble mean and weighted ensemble standard deviation.
- Introduce physics perturbations to improve spread/skill relation.
- "Think about" increasing horizontal resolution to 7 km.
- Calibrate COSMO-LEPS fcsts using reforecasts (F. Fundel , Meteoswiss).
- Implement "TIGGE-LAM compliant" COSMO-LEPS which runs on different domains, on different boundary conditions (relocatable COSMO-LEPS).
- **Develop "hybrid" clustering technique** (take boundary conditions from a "grand-global" ensemble provided by mixing ECMWF-EPS and UKMO-MOGREPS).

Thank you !

