# Performance of the ECMWF Model in Polar Regions

### Thomas Jung ECMWF (ECMWF Annual Seminar on Polar Meteorology)

Thanks to: Martin Leutbecher + colleagues from RD



- Analysis
- Predictability issues
- Specific Phenomena
- Systematic Error



# Region

- Primarily Northern Hemisphere

# Season

- Boreal winter (DJF and DJFM)

Atmospheric circulation

# **ERA-40 vs Operational Analysis**

#### **Total Z500 Variability**

(a) Standard Deviation: Total Z500 Variability (ERA40, 2000-01)



(b) Standard Deviation: Difference OD-ERA40 (2000-01)

#### Synoptic Z500 Variability

(a) Standard Deviation: Synoptic Z500 Variability (OD DJF 2000-01)



(b) Standard Deviation: Difference OD-E4 (Synoptic, DJF 2000-01)





# **Synoptic-Scale Z500 Snapshots**



2-8 day bandpass-filtered data



- Analyzed circulation seems good enough for the purposes of this study
- This is true for synoptic and planetary scales
- Clearly improvement in recent years

# <u>Temporal Evolution of</u> Deterministic Forecast Error



# <u>Temporal Evolution of</u> Deterministic Forecast Error



# <u>Temporal Evolution of</u> Deterministic Forecast Error



### ACC Z50 DJF NH: 1995-2006



#### **Time Series of the Meridional Temperature Gradient**



# **Probabilistic Skill: NH Polar Cap**

#### **All Scales**

#### Synoptic Scales Only



#### Polar Cap=north of 65N

#### **Courtesy of Martin Leutbecher**

# **EPS Skill vs. Spread: NH Polar Cap**

#### All Scales





#### Solid lines: RMSE of EM Dashed lines: Ensemble spread

**Courtesy of Martin Leutbecher** 

# <u>Sensitivity of Forecast Error</u> <u>to Initial Conditions</u>

Q: How do perturbations to the initial conditions look like that have a large impact on forecast error north of 70N?



$$\delta J = \left\langle \nabla_0 J, \delta \mathbf{x}_0 \right\rangle$$

- T159L60 TL/AD
- linearized physical processes
- Target: north of 70N
- 48 hour forecast error

## <u>D+2 Forecast Error in Polar Regions:</u> Temperature at about 500 hPa (20041129)



# Forecast Sensitivity (DJFM 2004/05) to Initial Perturbations



# Flow-Dependent Deterministic Forecast Error (D+2, DJF, 1982-2001)



PC1-Associated Z500 Anomaly (DJF 1982-2001)



EOF2: Absolute D+2 FCE Z500 (DJF 1982-2001)



PC2-Associated Z500 Anomaly (DJF 1982-2001)



### **Flow-Dependent Deterministic Forecast Error**

Composite Z500 (01/96-12/02) Analysis vs NAOI



Composite Z500 (01/96-12/02) D+5 Forecast Error vs NAOI

Composite Z500 (01/96-12/02) D+5 EPS Spread vs NAOI





# <u>Conclusions</u>

- Substantial improvements in the predictive skill in polar regions
  - Troposphere and stratosphere
  - Deterministic and probabilistic
  - Resolutions seems to be a key-element
- Mid-latitude North Atlantic has an impact on high-latitude forecast skill (flow-dependent)
- Deterministic forecast error is flowdependent
- EPS captures flow-dependence in the midlatitudes (also polar regions?)

# Mean Z500 Errors at D+10 (DJF)

#### **Deterministic Forecast**



#### **Control Forecast**

(b) Mean Z500 Error: CF D+10 (2001-2006 DJF) 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 -10 -20 -30 -40 -50 260<sup>0</sup> -60 5800 -70

# **Spurious Systematic Z500 Error?**



# **Spurious Systematic Z500 Error?**



Jung, QJ, 2005



- Systematic errors of the circulation are difficult to detect at high-latitudes (large decadal-scale variations)
- Loss of predictability might be misinterpreted as biases
- Long time series are necessary (reforecasts)
- ERA-40 reforecast (D+10) show rather little systematic error in polar region (NH)
- However, in some region systematic errors continue to grow beyond D+10...

# **Seasonal Integrations**

- Atmospheric model only (different model cycles and resolutions)
- Lower boundary conditions: Observed SSTs (uncoupled)
- Initial conditions: ERA-40 and/or OD analysis
- One run for each of a large number of winters (e.g., 1990-2005)

## Mean Z500 Error and Horizontal Resolution (DJFM 1990-2005)



#### T<sub>L</sub>95L91-OBS

#### **T<sub>L</sub>511L91-OBS**

# **Extratropical Cyclones: Questions**

- How well do we simulate observed characteristics of high-latitude cyclones?
- How sensitive are the results to horizontal resolution?

# **Extratropical Cyclones: Experiments**

### Three data sets:

- ERA-40/Operational analyses for verification
- T<sub>L</sub>95L91 run (31R1)
- $T_L 159L91 run (31R1)$
- $T_L 255L91 run (31R1)$
- T<sub>L</sub>511L91 run (31R1)
- DJFM 1990-2005 (forecasts start 1<sup>st</sup> November)
- 6-hourly MSLP interpolated to a common 2.5x2.5 deg grid

Description up to T255 in Jung et al. (2006), to appear in QJ

# **Tracking Software**

- Strategy: Searching for and tracking local minima in MSLP fields
- High temporal resolution required (6-hourly data)
- Data have been interpolated to 1-hourly data for tracking
- The accuracy of the software is very high (verified against manually tracked systems)!
- The software has been developed by Sergey Gulev and co-workers

### **Extratropical Cyclone Tracks and Pressure**



### **Number of Extratropical Cyclones (1990-2005)**





### **Number of Extratropical Cyclones (1990-2005)**



## Influence of Horizontal Resolution on the Number of Extratropical Cyclones (1990-2005)



# Synoptic Activity and Horizontal Resolution (DJFM 1990-2005)



# Synoptic Activity and Horizontal Resolution (1990-2005)

Synoptic Z500 Activity: Difference eslx-esm0 (12-3 1990-2005)



### **The Greenland Tip Jet Events**



Moore and Renfew (2005)

## Greenland Tip Jet Events: D+1 Forecasts at Various Resolutions

T<sub>1</sub>95L91

(a) SLP and Turbulent Heat Fluxes: 20041226 12z FC+24h (T95)







(d) SLP and Turbulent Heat Fluxes: 20050116 12z FC+24h (T95)



(e) SLP and Turbulent Heat Fluxes: 20050116 12z FC+24h (T255)



(f) SLP and Turbulent Heat Fluxes: 20050116 12z FC+24h (T799)



Jung and Rhines, JAS, submitted

### **Greenland Tip Jet Events: QuikSCAT Winds**

T<sub>L</sub>799L60





**Courtesy of Hans Hersbach** 

### Leading EOFs: Z50 Anomalies

53.0% EOFI 53% Z50 Anomalies er40 (12-3 1962-2001)



**8.8%** EOF3 8.84%: Z50 Anomalies er40 (12-3 1962-2001)



62.4% EOF1 62.4% Z50 Anomalies eqzy (12-3 1962-2001)



**12.3%** EOF2 12.3% Z50 Anomalies eqzy (12-3 1962-2001)



**10.7%** EOF3 10.7%: Z50 Anomalies eqzy (12-3 1962-2001)



### Leading EOFs: Z50 Anomalies

53.0% EOFI 53% Z50 Anomalies er40 (12-3 1962-2001)



15.6%

EOF2 15.6%: Z50 Anomalies em3i (12-3 1962-2001)

**8.8%** EOF3 8.84%: Z50 Anomalies er40 (12-3 1962-2001)



58.4%

EOF1 58.4%: Z50 Anomalies em3i (12-3 1962-2001)





10.2%

EOF3 10.2%: Z50 Anomalies em3i (12-3 1962-2001)



### **The Stratosphere-Troposphere Link**



# <u>Methodology</u>

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{IFS}(\mathbf{x}_0) \pm \mathbf{F}$$

- F is the composite optimal forcing of the polar vortex (adjoint, 18 cases)
- F is applied in the stratosphere only (>150 hPa)
- 3 40-day forecasts for every winter (1982-2001)
- Control & Control ± F
- T<sub>L</sub>95L60 (cycle 28r1)

# **Stratospheric Response (50hPa)**



# **Z1000 Response (Weak-CNTL)**



### **Strong Vortex Composites (ERA-40)**



### Strong Vortex Composites (T<sub>L</sub>95L91)



# **Sensitivity to Vertical Resolution**

- Two different resolutions (L62 and L91)
- Same resolution up to 150hPa.
- L91:
  - About 45 levels in the stratosphere
  - Highest level at 0.01 hPa
- L62:
  - About 15 levels in the stratosphere
  - Highest level at 5 hPa
- All runs at T<sub>L</sub>95
- DJFM 1962-2001 (starts on 1<sup>st</sup> October)

### **Influence of Vertical Resolution (L62-L91)**



### **Influence of Vertical Resolution (L62-L91)**

#### **Stationary Waves**

(b) Eliassen-Palm Flux Vectors and Divergence Difference (eqzy-eqz8 1962-2001) Stationary Eddies



#### **Transient Waves**





- Substantial improvements of the model climate at very high resolution (e.g, westerly wind bias).
- This is particularly true for synoptic-scale systems in high-latitudes.
- More realistic simulation of the stratospheric eigenstructure.
- Vertical resolution primarily influences stationary waves.
- "Downward propagation" is captured by the ECMWF model (even at T<sub>L</sub>95L62).

### Strong Vortex Composites (T<sub>1</sub>95L62)



# STD of Forecast Error: D+2.5 Z500 Tendency (2-8 days)

(a) STDEV: Z500 Tendency Analyses (2001-2006 DJF)



(c) STDEV: D+3-D+2 Z500 CF Error (2001-2006 DJF)





n

(d) Difference: (c)-(b)



### **Evolution of D+3 Systematic Z500 Errors**



#### Jung, QJ, 2005

### **Synoptic Z500 Activity in Different Analyses**



Difference Synoptic Z500 Activity: ERA40-ERA15 (DJFM 1979-92)



### Systematic Error Growth: EPSC Z500 (DJFM 2000-03)



### **Reduction of Systematic Errors (1981-2003)**



### **Extratropical Cyclone Tracks (1995-2001)**







Model Cyclones DJFM 1995-2000 (T255L40)



940 950 960 970 980 990 1000 1010 1020

### Systematic Z500 Error Growth: Medium-Range (DJFM 1960-2001)



#### Planetary-Scale Z50 Variability (k=1-5)





#### **Synoptic-Scale Z50 Variability**



### **EP-Flux Vectors and Divergence: Stationary Eddies**

#### **ERA-40**

#### L62-ERA40



### **EP-Flux Vectors and Divergence: Stationary Eddies**

#### **ERA-40**

#### **L91-ERA40**



# **Optimal Stratospheric Forcing (50hPa)**



### **EP-Flux Vectors and Divergence: Stationary Eddies**

#### **L91**

#### L62-L91



### **EP-Flux Vectors and Divergence: Transient Eddies**

#### **ERA-40**

#### **L62-ERA40**



### **EP-Flux Vectors and Divergence: Transient Eddies**

#### **ERA-40**

#### L91-ERA40



### **EP-Flux Vectors and Divergence: Transient Eddies**

#### **L91**

#### L62-L91



# Synoptic Z500 Activity D+21-D+30

