# PCA based information content studies from high spectral resolution infrared observations



P. Antonelli, H. Revercomb, R. Knuteson, Larry Sromovsky, A. Huang, Ray Garcia, Ben Howell D, Tobin, F. Best CIMSS/SSEC, UW-Madison

> R. Episcopo, L. Galdi, M. di Bisceglie University of Sannio, Italy





Langley Research Center

*W. Smith* NASA Langley (retired)

### **Questions we are trying to answer**

- What is the impact of PCA on hyperspectral IR data?
  - Estimation of the Information Loss
  - Noise reduction
- What do the Principal Components represent?
  - Statistical meaning
  - Physical meaning
- What are the benefits and risks in applying PCA to hyperspectral IR data for noise filtering?
  - How should it be applied?
  - When should it be applied?

# Outline

- PCA used to Filter out random component of instrument Noise (PNF)
  - Theory
- Application of PNF to simulated data
  - Aircraft FTS data
- Application of PNF to real data
  - Airborne FTS and Spaceborne Grating observations
- Conclusions

# **Noise Filter Problem**

 $L_{obs}(v) = L_{atm}(v) + \eta(v)$ 

Find F such that:  $L_{est}(v) = F(L_{obs}(v))$ 

With minimal Estimation Errors:  $EE(v)=L_{est}(v)-L_{atm}(v)$ 

MMSE If S=cov( $\eta$ ) and R=cov(L<sub>atm</sub>) are known, the optimal linear filter in the least square sense is F=R(R+S)<sup>-1</sup>

$$L_{est}(v) = P(L_{obs}(v))$$

PCA

# **Useful Quantities**

- Estimation Error (EE): difference between noise free and filtered signals
- Atmospheric Information Loss (AIL): difference between noise free signal before and after filtering
- Reconstructed Noise (RN): noise signal after filtering
- Reconstruction Residuals (RR): difference between observed signal before and after filtering

# PCA Noise Filter: Implementation Strategy

 Normalize each spectrum L<sub>obs</sub> by estimated Noise Equivalent Radiance

 Derive the Principal Components from observations (Eigenfunctions of Covariance Matrix of dependent L<sub>obs</sub>)

Project each L<sub>obs</sub> onto PCs

Estimate noise normalized signal (L<sub>est</sub>) by retaining only N<sub>t</sub> PCs

Remove normalization

Noise Reduction Factor (NRF) After Noise Normalization data:  $\sigma_i=1 \forall i$ 

Original space:  $\Phi^2 = \Sigma \sigma_j^2 = N$  j=1,...,N

Reduced space:  $\Gamma^2 = \Sigma \sigma_j^2 = N_t$   $j=1,...,N_t$ 

Noise Reduction Factor (NRF) NRF=sqrt( $\Phi^2/\Gamma^2$ )=sqrt(N/N<sub>t</sub>)

# PNF on Simulated Data

- Quantification of:
  - Atmospheric Information Loss (AIL)
  - Reconstructed instrument Noise (RN)
- Comparison between:
  - Accuracy of PNF (PCA Noise Filter)
  - Accuracy of MMSE (Minimum Mean Square Error from Estimation Theory)
- Verification importance of:
  - Noise normalization
  - Importance of large training sets

# Training Set

- 10000 raob profiles collected over South Africa (REGIONAL or Local DATASET)
- Clear Sky radiances only, simulated with LBLRTM 8.1 and convoluted at Scanning-HIS resolution (.5 cm<sup>-1</sup>)
- Noise RMS estimated from observed instrument noise (Scanning-HIS, 7 Sep 2000)

#### Training Set Simulated Data



**REGIONAL TRAINING SET** July-October / 1990-2000 **Over South-East African Countries** 



30<sup>°</sup> E

40<sup>°</sup> E

#### EE vs RN



#### Correlation in RN



# Correlation in AIL





PCA Rms(RN) PCA Rms(RN)

PCA Rms(AIL) PCA Rms(AIL)

<Rms(AIL)>

<Rms(RN)>

PNF approaches theoretical limits defined Linear Estimation Theory

#### Importance of Noise Normalization



Noise Normalization changes noise distribution along different PCs







# PCs With Noise Normalization

#### Importance of Noise Normalization



Noise Normalization increases NRF and decreases Atm. Info Loss

# Training Set Size



More Noise Variance explained by high order PCs (large values of  $N_t$ )

#### Importance of Noise Normalization



Large Training Sets\* increase NRF and decrease Atm. Info Loss

#### Conclusions on PNF impact on Simulated Data

- In RMS sense PNF approaches optimal values defined by Linear Estimation Theory for both AIL and RN
- RMS of AIL and RN are about 7 times<sup>\*</sup> smaller that RMS of Original Noise
- Noise normalization and Large Training Sets improve filter efficiency and accuracy

\* This Value depends on the specific instrument used



- ER-2 (cruise altitude: 20 km), its instruments are above 94% of the earth's atmosphere
  - NAST-I (FTS, 3.7-16 microns @ .25 cm<sup>-1</sup>)
  - S-HIS (FTS, 3.3-18 microns @ .5 cm<sup>-1</sup>)
- AQUA (Orbit altitude 705 km)
  - AIRS (Grating, 3.7-15.4 microns, resolving power 1200)



# Training Set: S-HIS from SAFARI 2000



## The Noise Filter Effect



# Filtered-Unfiltered for almost overlapping FOVs over Ocean





Unfiltered

Filtered

#### Filtered-Unfiltered single FOV over Ocean



# Filtered and Unfiltered data for almost overlapping FOVs over Fire





#### Filtered-Unfiltered for almost overlapping FOVs over Fire





#### Unfiltered data for 10 FOVs over Fire







#### Unfiltered data for 10 FOVs after Fire

#### Filtered data for 10 FOVs after Fire



#### Courtesy of MAS team



#### Poorly Estimated

Properly Estimated



#### Importance Dependent Training



Training Set with Blue Spike

Training Set without Blue Spike



![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

wavenumber [cm<sup>-1</sup>]

# Importance of Noise Normalization

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

rms(RR)/rms(Noise)

#### Noise normalization avoids fitting noise where noise level is high

# PNF used for noise estimation

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

Noise estimation with PNF still not objective!

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

# AIRS on Mount Etna

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Signal Variance Granule 123, 28 Oct 2002

Cloudy Clear All

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

# AIRS noise

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Eigenvalues of Obs covariance Matrix

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

Larger Training with more redundant observation == Smaller FOVs

# AIRS channel differences

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Filter vs Unfiltered

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

Features over Northern Africa and Southern Italy are visible after filtering

# **AIRS channel differences**

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Filter vs Unfiltered

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

Striping is removed and features over Northern Africa are visible after filtering

Sensitivity to SO<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

Work initiated by Larrabee Straw And Dave Tobin

# SO<sub>2</sub> emitted by Mount Etna

1414.008-1376.886 cm<sup>-1</sup>

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

c1:1376.886, c2:1414.008

# Fraction of Energy per PCs

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

# SO<sub>2</sub> Concentration

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

PCC8-PCC11

# Conclusions

- PCA by taking advantage of redundancy reduces random component of Instrument noise (PNF)
- Both AIL and RN approach the optimal value defined by Linear Estimation Theory
- For simulated data (presented case) AIL and RN are 7 times smaller that original noise
- Both AIL and RN are correlated in wavenumber space
- Most difficult cases, observation highly deviant from mean, are properly treated if PCs are derived in Dependent Mode

# Conclusions

- Noise normalization and large training set enhance accuracy and efficiency of PNF
- If not available, estimate of random component of instrument noise can be obtained by applying PCA to observations
- With real data (AIRS) achieved NR factor is between 4 and 5
- PNF is not quite ready to be used as Black Box, it requires tuning and monitoring of Reconstruction Residuals

# Ongoing Work

- Characterization of AIL and RN spectral correlation
- Investigation of physical meaning of PCs

# Thanks!

# **Questions?**