## **Extraction of Profile Information**

from Cloud Contaminated Radiances

W. L. Smith, D. K. Zhou, H-L Huang,, and Jun Li (NASA LaRC and UW-CIMSS) ECMWF Workshop on Assimilation of High Resolution Sounders in NWP (June 28 – July 1, 2004)



Isabel Pays Unwelcome Visit To Seaford VA The 24-36 hour track forecast was good but the surge was missed by >1 meter Can Hyerspectral Soundings Improve This!

## **NAST-I & AIRS Measurement Characteristics**



<u>Satellite AIRS ( IR Grating Spectrometer)</u> Spectral Range: 3.7 – 15.4 Microns Spectral Res: ν/δν =1200 (0.5-2.25 cm<sup>-1</sup>) Ground Resolution: 13.5km @ nadir Swath Width: 1650 km Aircraft NAST-I ( IR Interferometer)Spectral Range: 3.5 - 16 MicronsSpectral Res:  $\delta v = 0.25$  cm<sup>-1</sup>Ground Resolution: 2.5 km @ 20 kmSwath Width: 40 km @ 20 km

## **Empirical Orthogonal Function (EOF)** NAST-I Regression Retrieval

 $\mathbf{R} = radiance$ For clear sky and opaque cloud:  $\mathbf{R} = \varepsilon_{s,c} \mathbf{B}_{s,c} \tau_{s,c} - \int_{\mathbf{P}_{g,c}}^{\mathbf{P}_{g,c}} \mathbf{B} d \tau - (1 - \varepsilon_{s,c}) \tau_{s,c} \int_{\mathbf{P}_{g,c}}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{B} d \tau^*$  $\varepsilon_{s,c}$  = surface or cloud emissivity  $B_{sc}$  = surface or cloud Planck radiance  $\tau$  = transmittance between aircraft and atmospheric Pressure level (P)  $\tau_{Sc}$ =atmospheric transmittance between Radiance EOF aircraft and surface or cloud  $(P_{Sc})$  $C_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{j} E_{ji}$  $\tau^*$  = atmospheric transmittance between **Amplitudes** surface or cloud P and aircraft  $P_{ac}$  = aircraft pressure,  $P_s$  = surface pressure  $\left.\begin{array}{c} T_{s},\\ \epsilon_{s}(v),\\ T(p), \end{array}\right\}$  $\Re$  = radiance  $=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbf{K}_{mi}\mathbf{C}_{i}+\mathbf{K}_{mn}\mathbf{P}_{s}$ <u>Retrieval</u> Solution E = radiance covariance EOFsC = radiance EOF amplitudes **Q(p)** T = temperature $Q = H_2O$  mixing ratio K = regression coefficients

- Physical Regression EOFs and regression training based on calculated radiances
- Training should include cloud, sfc. emissivity, skin temp, and solar variability
- Null radiance errors assumed for PC specification and regression training
- EOF # selected by spatial radiance RMSD (observed minus retrieval) minimization

## C-F\* (July, 2002) NAST-I Vs Radiosonde:



\* Cirrus Regional Study of Tropical Anvils and Cirrus Layers - Florida Area Cirrus Experiment (CRYSTAL - FACE)

**C-F Retrieval Vs Raob Mean and Stde (Clear Cases)** 

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Approaches to Dealing With Clouds**

<u>Hole Hunting</u> - Requires small field of view. Produces soundings in clear IFOVs only.

<u>**Cloud Clearing</u>** - Provides sounding in clear air above and below broken clouds. Requires multi-spectral imager with broadband sounding channels to filter erroneous estimates. Results improve with decreasing field of view size.</u>

<u>**Cloud Equivalent Clear Radiance Retrieval</u>** - Provides correct sounding down to near cloud top level with a erroneous sounding being produced below cloud level. Below an opaque overcast, an isothermal sounding results whereas for a semi-transparent or broken cloud condition, the sounding below the cloud will lie in-between the true sounding and the isothermal profile</u>

<u>**Cloud-Training</u>** - Provides sounding above and below semi-transparent and/or broken clouds, and above opaque overcast clouds. Enables cloud microphysical parameters to be retrieved for input to a physical/matrix inverse retrieval or the direct assimilation of radiances into the forecast model</u>

#### Spatial resolution is important for resolving clear radiances

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **MODIS True Color Image – 24 August, 2002**

## Hole Hunting - Requires small field of view

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

<sup>1</sup> IASI is circular with a diameter of 12 Km, <sup>2</sup> CrIS is circular with a diameter of 14 km

### **Basic <u>Cloud Clearing</u>** Methodology

(Assumes Horizontally Uniform Cloud Height and Cloud Microphysics)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $R_1(W)$  and  $R_2(W)$  are sounder window radiance measurements in FOVs 1 and 2.  $R_{clr}(\Delta W)$  is the clear window radiance measured by the imager.  $R_{clr}(\Delta v)$  is the clear radiance measured in the absorption channel(s) of the imager.  $\delta$  is the expected error, due to measurement noise, between the true and reconstructed imager clear radiances.

# Spectrum measured at AIRS spectral resolution ( $\delta v = v/1200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) with MODIS Infrared channels and AIRS sounding spectral bands shown

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

### An AIRS/MODIS Cloud-Clearing Example

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **AIRS cloud detection from MODIS 1km cloud mask**

### **AIRS Derived Clear Radiance Vs Clear Sky Neighbor**

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **AIRS Derived Clear Radiance Vs Clear Sky Neighbor**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **AIRS Profile Retrievals Vs ECMWF Analysis**

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Entire Granule Temperature RMS Difference (250 cases) Between AIRS and ECMWF (Scattered Clouds)\*

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

\* Jun Li (CIMSS, 2004)

### **Cloud Clearing with/without MODIS Imaging Data**

40 x 40 km	Sounding	Area Clear	Column	<b>Radiance*</b>	<b>Yields</b>	(%)
------------	----------	------------	--------	------------------	---------------	-----

Spatial Resolution	3km	6km	9km	12 km	18 km
<b>Total Number of FOVs/ FOR</b>	144	36	16	9	4
≥ 1 Observed Clear FOV/FOR (%)	46	40	33	28	21
Total (Clr + CCR) w/o MODIS (%)	66	62	56	53	45
Total with MODIS (%)	64	<b>58</b>	52	47	39

< 50 % yield, at 40 km spacing, for 12 km sounding resolution .: Need to perform cloudy retrievals for AIRS/IASI/CrIS !!

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

\*19 Different NAST-I Flights covering all season/all latitude cloud conditions

## **Cloud Equivalent Clear Radiance Retrieval**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Cirrus Cloud "Venetian Blind Effect"**

Proteus Flight Track (July 12, 2001)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

NAST-I Log10[VMR (g/kg)] Vertical Cross Section

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

These retrievals, uncorrected for cloud attenuation, demonstrate the ability of a high spatial resolution sounder to sense the spatial structure of moisture below a scattered and semi-transparent cirrus cloud cover

# **Basis for Cloud Training Algorithm!**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

Radiance spectral slope is sensitive to particle size Radiance magnitude is sensitive to optical depth

# **Cloud Retrieval Training !**

- Perform a realistic simulation of clouds for synthetic EOF radiance training
- Diagnose 0-2 cloud layers from radiosonde relative humidity profile
  - A single cloud layer (either ice or liquid) is inserted into the input radiosonde profile.
  - Approximate lower level cloud using opaque cloud representation (i.e., isothermal/saturated)
- Use parameterization of Heymsfeld's\* balloon and aircraft cloud microphysical data base (2003) to specify cloud effective particle radius, r<sub>e</sub>, and cloud optical depth, τ, (i.e., r<sub>e</sub> = a τ<sup>α</sup> / [τ - bτ<sup>α</sup>]).
  - Different habitats can be specified (Hexagonal columns assumed here)
  - Different clouds microphysical properties are simulated for same radiosonde using random number generator to specify visible cloud optical depth within a pre-specified range. 10 % random error added to parameterized effective radius to account for real data scatter.

#### • Use LBLRTM/DISORT "lookup table" to specify cloud radiative properties

 Spectral transmittance and reflectance for ice and liquid clouds interpolated from multidimensional look-up table based on DISORT multiple scattering calculations for the (wavenumber range 500 – 2500 cm<sup>-1</sup>, zenith angle 0 – 80 deg., Deff (Ice: 10 – 157 um, Liquid: 2 – 100 um), OD(vis) (Ice: 0.04 - 100, Liquid 0.06 – 150)

#### • Compute EOFs and Regressions from cloudy radiance data base

- Regress cloud properties (p,  $\tau$ ,  $r_e$ ) and surface and profile parameters against radiance EOFs
- For small optical depth, output entire profile down to surface or lower opaque cloud level
- For large upper level cloud optical depth, output profile above the upper cloud level

Heymsfield, A. J., S. Matrosov, and B. A. Baum: Ice water path-optical depth relationships for cirrus and precipitating cloud layers. *J. Appl. Meteor.* October 2003

## Semi-transparent Cloud ( $\tau \leq 1$ ) Training Skill

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

(1) Predict cloud pressure height using uncategorized statistics (i.e., without pressure grouping)

- (1) Predict cloud pressure height, p(n) using categorized statistics for p(n-1) cloud height obtained in (1)
- (3) Use statistics for cloud height p(n) to predict an n+1 cloud height p(n+1)
- (4) Compare new cloud height, p (n+1) with previously determined cloud height, p (n):

(a) <u>if p(n+1)=p(n)</u>: obtain geophysical parameter retrievals using statistics for p(n+1)

- (b) if  $p(n+1) \neq p(n)$ : let p(n)=p(n+1) and predict a new p(n+1) using p(n) cloud statistics
- (5) Repeat step (4) until convergence in cloud height is obtained, and parameter retrievals, is obtained.

# **ATReC ER-2 Deployment**

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

- ATReC (November 18 December 15, 2003, Bangor, Maine). The <u>A</u>tlantic-<u>THORPEX Regional Campaign (ATReC)</u> focused on reducing the number and size of significant weather forecast errors over Europe and the eastern USA by infusing extra remote sensing and in-situ observations over sensitive (i.e. oceanic) regions. ER-2 flights contributed to ATReC as focusing on satellite sensor validation underflights (TERRA, AQUA, & DMSP)
- <u>NAST Research Objective</u>: Profiling under complex cloud conditions

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Aircraft Payload Included: <u>NASA ER-2</u> (NAST-I, NAST-M, S-HIS, MAS, CPL, in-situ O3 <u>Dropsondes</u> (NOAA G-4 and Cessna Citation )

Satellite Platforms Included: Aqua, DMSP, Terra, and WindSat/Coriolis

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

## December 5, ATReC Cloud Results

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

## December 5, ATReC Cloud Results

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

cloud optical depth 42 20 41 18 40 16 39 14 Latitude (deg.) 35 35 12 10 8 35 34 33 32 -76 -75 -74 -73 -72 -71 -70 -69 -68 -67 Longitude (deg.)

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

## December 5, ATReC Profile Results

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **AIRS Vs NAST-I Cloud Properties**

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **AIRS Vs NAST-I Cloud Properties**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **AIRS Vs NAST-I Profile Properties**

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Example Profile Comparisons**

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Conclusions

### <u>High spatial resolution</u>

- Sampling clear air
- Optimizing cloudy sky retrievals

### <u>Cloud clearing</u>

- Cloud clearing is useful for sounding under scattered clouds
- AIRS can benefit from 1 km MODIS sounding channels
- Cloud clearing causes loss of spatial resolution and clear air bias

### <u>Cloud training</u>

- Permits sounding beneath semi-transparent cloud (i.e., thin cirrus)
- Permits sounding to cloud level for opaque cloud conditions
- Retrieved cloud properties can be used for 1-d Var Retrieval or for the direct assimilation of radiances into forecast model

Ultimate approach for sounding retrieval or radiance assimilation with clouded hyperspectral radiances should employ a combination of cloud clearing and cloud training algorithms